

REPORT# 10543-xxix/GSK


GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION REPORT

| Location | Dhok Budhal, Rawalpindi | | | | |
|----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Project | Construction of Government Girls Elementary School. | | | | |
| No. of Bore Holes | 02 | | | | |
| Date of Exploration | August, 2023 | | | | |
| Reporting Officer | Engr. Ghassan Sattar Khan | | | | |
| Submitted to: | UNHCR | | | | |
| Ground Water Table Depth | Not Encountered. | | | | |
| Recommended footing type | Strip | | | | |
| Recommended net bearing capacity | 0.55 TSF | | | | |

Address: 97-K Industrial Estate, Hayatabad Peshawar Phone: 091-5881841, Cell No: 0333-5177774 e-mail.pce.pesh@gmail.com




| Contents Table 1.00 | - INTRODUCTION | 2 |
|---------------------|---------------------------------------|----|
| | 10 – GENERAL | |
| | 20 – ACTIVITY DETAILS | |
| | – EVALUATION | |
| | - CONCLUSION | |
| 3.10 Bea | aring Capacity (In-situ Condition) | |
| | Class | |
| 3.30 Seis | smic Zone | |
| Table 4.00 | - RECOMMENDATION | 5 |
| 4.10 Bac | skfill Material | 5 |
| 4.20 Site | e Drainage | 5 |
| SITE PICTU | JRES | 6 |
| A.1.0 | PURPOSE OF GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION | 3 |
| A.2.0 | METHODOLOGY | 3 |
| A.2.1 | Field Work | |
| A.2.2 | Laboratory Work | Ç |
| A.2.2.1 | Moisture Content & Bulk Density | 9 |
| A.2.2.2 F | Particle Size Distribution | 9 |
| A.2.2.3 | Atterberg's Limits | 10 |
| A.2.2.4 | Unconfined Compression Test: | 10 |
| B.1.0 | BEARING CAPACITY CALCULATION: | 12 |
| B.1.1 | Bearing Capacity from c &6 | 12 |





| Table 1.00 – INTRODUCTION | | | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Table 1.10 – GENERAL | | | | | | | |
| UNHCR | | | | | | | |
| UNHCR | | | | | | | |
| Location/ Address Dhok Budhal, Rawalpindi | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |



| Name of Project | Construction of Government Girls Elementary School. |
|------------------------------|---|
| No. of Stories | Single story |
| Task To be Performed | Geotechnical Investigation |
| Scope of Work/ Work executed | 02 Boreholes. (up to 20ft depth) |
| Purpose of activity | Geotechnical Investigation |
| Arial Conditions of the site | Plot level was 1ft above the road level. |



| Table 1.20 – ACTIVITY DETAIL | .S | | | | | |
|--|---------------|-----------|--|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Coordinates of exploratory points | | (33.34 | (33.34269, 73.013900) | | | |
| Field Tests performed | | i. ii. | Drilling of Boreholes Conduction of SPT | 02 Each at 05ft interval | | |
| Observed telephone lines, sewer li poles, water pipes etc. | nes, electric | None | | | | |
| Laboratory Tests performed | | i. | Atterberg's limits ASTM D-4318-10. | 02 | | |
| | | ii. | Particle Size ASTM D422, D1140. | 02 | | |
| | | iii. | Unconfined Compression tests ASTM D-2166 | 02 | | |
| | | | Direct shear tests ASTM D-3060 | 01 | | |
| Ground Water Table from N.S.L | Nil | Ground | d Water Table from R.L | Nil | | |
| Encountered Rocky Strata depth Nil | | | ges | Not recorded | | |

| | Table 2.00 – EVALUATION | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| S. No. | ' | | | | | | | | |
| 01 | According to USCS classification the strata mainly comprised of lean clay with sandy silty | | | | | | | | |
| 03 | Tests performed to measure the shear strength parameters of soil Unconfined | | | | | | | | |





Table 3.00 - CONCLUSION

3.10 Bearing Capacity (In-situ Condition)

| S. No | Depth (ft.) | Footing Type | Footing Width (ft.) | Ultimate Bearing Capacity TSF | Gross Allowable Bearing Capacity TSF | Net Allowable Bearing Capacity TSF |
|-------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| 01 | 04 | Strip | 05 | 2.25 | 0.75 | 0.55 |

3.20 Site Class

| | | Average | Average Properties for Top 30 M (100 ft) of Soil Profile | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Soil Profile Type | Soil Profile Name/ Generic Description | Shear Wave Velocity, v. m/sec (ft/sec) | Standard Penetration Tests, N [or N _{CH} for cohesionless soil layers] (blows/foot) | Undrained Shear Strength, s, kPa (psf) | | | | | | |
| S_A | Hard Rock | >1,500 (>4,920) | | | | | | | | |
| S_B | Rock | 750 to 1,500 (2,460 to 4,920) | - | - | | | | | | |
| S_C | Very Dense Soil and Soft Rock | 350 to 750 (1,150 to 2,460) | >50 | >100 (>2,088) | | | | | | |
| S_D | Stiff Soil Profile | 175 to 350 (575 to 1,150) | 15 to 50 | 50 to 100 (1,044 to 2,088) | | | | | | |
| S_E^{-1} | Soft Soil Profile | <175 (<575) | <15 | <50 (<1,044) | | | | | | |
| S_F | Soil requiring Site-specific Evaluation. See 4.4.2 | | | | | | | | | |

¹ Soil Profile Type S_E also includes any soil profile with more than 3 m (10 ft) of soft clay defined as a soil with a plasticity index, PI > 20, $w_{mc} \ge 40$ percent and $s_u < 25$ kPa (522 psf). The Plasticity Index, PI, and the moisture content, w_{mc} , shall be determined in accordance with the latest ASTM procedures.

| | S _E ¹ |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Ref: Pakistan Building Code 2007 | |
| 3.30 Seismic Zone | Zone: 2B PGA of 0.16g to 0.24g. |

Ref: Pakistan Building Code 2007






Table 4.00 - RECOMMENDATION

i. Compact the surface prior to laying foundation.

4.10 Backfill Material

In general, materials for the backfilling should be granular, not containing rocks or lumps over 15 cm in greatest dimension, free from organic matter, with plasticity index (PI) not more than 6%. The backfill material should be laid in lifts not exceeding 25 cm in loose thickness and compacted to at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density at optimum moisture content as determined by modified compaction test (Proctor) (ASTM D-1557).


4.20 Site Drainage

It is recommended to design an effective rainwater drainage system to get rid of the consequences of the rainwater percolation into the layers (i.e. provision of parametric drains). The site should be graded so as to direct rainwater and water away from all planned structures. Under no circumstances, the foundation shall get inundated during the whole period of construction. Utmost care shall be taken not to allow drainage water to seep into the soil.

For this specific water logged site, simultaneous dewatering activity must be carried out along with excavation. This may be done using test pits or filter piles / boreholes.




5













ANNEXURE-A SCOPE OF WORK & METHODOLOGY

A.1.0 PURPOSE OF GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION

The very main purpose of Geotechnical investigation is to conduct soil investigation for the site where

building construction needs to take place.

The activity comprises of soil exploration and determines suitability of the site for the proposed

construction. It mainly helps in knowing which type of foundation is required or what safety measures

shall be taken. The effort and detail of geotechnical site investigation is to obtain sufficient and correct

site information so as to select and design a foundation for a building that is most economical and

appropriate.

In general, the purpose of this site investigation was to provide the following:

1- Information to determine the type of foundation required (shallow or deep).

2- Information to allow the geotechnical consultant to make a recommendation on the allowable bearing

capacity of the soil.

3- Sufficient data/ laboratory tests to make settlement and swelling predictions.

4- Location of the groundwater level

5- Information so that the identification and solution of excavation problems can be made.

A.2.0 METHODOLOGY

A.2.1 Field Work

a. Preliminary survey

Preliminary survey was conducted by the team to identify drilling points location based on master plan

for the building.

8

Address: 97-K Industrial Estate, Hayatabad Peshawar Phone: 091-5881841, Cell No: 0333-5177774 e-mail.pce.pesh@gmail.com

b. Drilling

As per scope of work, the site investigation program included the exploration of site subsurface

conditions through the drilling of two boreholes, up to 20ft deep below the existing ground level.

c. Sampling

Samples collected:

✓ Disturbed samples; for identification and index property testing purposes at various depths as

elucidated in the scope of work.

✓ Undisturbed samples; for the computation of shear strength parameters of soil. The samples were

collected using Block Sampling method.

Representative samples were placed in sealed plastic bags and core boxes, to be transported to the

laboratory for further testing.

A.2.2 Laboratory Work

A.2.2.1 Moisture Content & Bulk Density

To determine the moisture content of soils, the soil sample was dried at a temperature of 105°C to

110°C for about 24 hours. The loss in weight of the soil sample represented the weight of moisture in

the soil. The moisture content of the soil to the dry weight of soil in percentage is the moisture content

of the testing soil. This test was performed in accordance with BS 1377: Part 2: 1990. The bulk density

of a soil, i.e. the mass per unit volume of the soil deposit including any water it contains was

determined at the laboratory by using the linear measurement method approached by BS 1377: Part 2:

1990.

A.2.2.2 Particle Size Distribution

Particle size distribution was determined by means of sieving. Sieves of standard

sizes were used as per ASTM E11-09e1. The percentage of weight of the various

particle sizes were determined by sieving through a set of these standard sieves. This

9



test was performed to determine the percentage of different grain sizes contained within a soil sample. This test was performed as per ASTM D422, D1140. Graphs obtained are attached in the appendices.

A.2.2.3 Atterberg's Limits

Following ASTM D4318-10, the liquid limit and plastic limit of required sample that is cohesive in nature, was computed. The Atterberg's limits refer to arbitrarily defined boundaries between the liquid and plastic states (i.e., liquid limit, W_L) and between the plastic and brittle states (ie, plastic limit, W_P), of fine grained soils. They are expressed in percentage water content. The range of water contents over which a soil behaves plastically is termed the Plastic Index and corresponds to the numerical difference between the liquid and plastic limit (ie, W_rW_P).



The liquid limit (LL) is arbitrarily known as the water content, in percent, at which a pat of soil in a standard cup and cut by a groove of standard dimensions will flow together at the base of the groove for a distance of 13 mm (1/2 in.) when subjected to 25 shocks from the cup being dropped 10 mm in a standard liquid limit apparatus operated at a rate of two shocks per second. The typical cassagrande's apparatus was used in determination of Liquid Limit.

The plastic limit (PL) is the water content, in percent, at which a soil can no longer be deformed by rolling into 3.2 mm (1/8 in.) diameter threads without crumbling


A.2.2.4 Unconfined Compression Test:

The test was conducted as per ASTM-D2166. In this test Method, a cylindrical soil specimen is unconfined laterally while loaded axially at an axial strain rate between 0.5 to 2 %/min. Measurements are made of elapsed time, axial deformation, and axial load. The unconfined compressive stress, qu, is calculated as the compressive stress at





failure. The undrained cohesion, cu, is one half of the unconfined compressive strength. The primary purpose of the unconfined Compression test is to quickly obtain a measure of compressive strength for those soils that possess sufficient cohesion to permit testing in the unconfined state.





B.1.0 BEARING CAPACITY CALCULATION:

The bearing capacity of soil is the average contact <u>stress</u> between a <u>foundation</u> and the soil which will cause shear failure in the soil. Allowable bearing stress is the bearing capacity divided by a factor of safety.

Following method was adopted to compute the bearing capacity values;

- i. From c &φ
- ii. From SPT (In-Situ Testing)

B.1.1 Bearing Capacity from c & •

Terzaghi's equation has been used to calculate the bearing capacity for cohesive soils. A factor of safety of '03' is used in calculation of Allowable bearing capacity. Data received from direct shear test has been used in the following equation.

Qu =
$$1.3cNc + qNqRw_1 + 0.4 \gamma BN\gamma Rw_2$$

C = Cohesion of soil, γ = unit weight of soil, D = depth of footing, B= width of footing

C,Ø - Strength parameters of the soil below foundation level.L - Length of foundation.

Nc, Ng, N_v - Bearing capacity coefficients dependent on the angle of internal friction of the soil.

$$Nc = \cot \phi (Nq - 1),$$

$$N_q = e^{\pi tan\phi}tan^2(45+\phi/2)$$

$$N_{\gamma} = (Nq - 1) \tan(1.4\phi)$$

$$Kp = tan^{2}(45 + \phi/2)$$



| | Mayerhoff's Bearing Capacity Factors | | | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------|------|------|--|--|--|--|
| Ø | Nc | Nq | Ny | | | | |
| 0 | 5.1 | 1 | 0 | | | | |
| 5 | 6.5 | 1.6 | 0.1 | | | | |
| 10 | 8.3 | 2.5 | 0.4 | | | | |
| 15 | 11 | 3.9 | 1.2 | | | | |
| 20 | 14.9 | 6.4 | 2.9 | | | | |
| 25 | 20.7 | 10.7 | 6.8 | | | | |
| 30 | 30.1 | 18.4 | 15.1 | | | | |
| 35 | 46.4 | 33.5 | 34.4 | | | | |
| 40 | 75.3 | 64.1 | 79.4 | | | | |




| | Table 1.1: Summary of Soil Classification, Strength and Parameter | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|---|------------|------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|--|
| No. | Location | Depth (ft) | Gravel (%) | Sand (%) | Fines (%) | Fineness Modulus | Liquid Limit (%) | Plastic Limit (%) | Plastic Limit (%) | Classification (USCS) | |
| 1 | ole# 1 | 5 - 10 | 0.8 | 29.6 | 69.6 | 0.44 | 24.5 | 19.3 | 5.2 | CL - ML, Sandy Silty Clay | |
| 2 | Bore hole# | 10- 20 | 0.3 | 31.7 | 68.0 | 0.34 | 25.8 | 20.6 | 5.2 | CL - ML, Sandy Silty Clay | |
| 3 | ole # 2 | 5 - 10 | 0.8 | 30.2 | 69.0 | 0.45 | 25.0 | 19.2 | 5.9 | CL - ML, Sandy Silty Clay | |
| 4 | Bore hole # | 10 -20 | 0.3 | 32.1 | 67.6 | 0.34 | 26.2 | 20.6 | 5.6 | CL - ML, Sandy Silty Clay | |



| | BOREHOLE LOG & STANDARD PENETRATION TESTING (ASTM-1586-54) | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--|-----------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------|--|--------------|--|
| BH No. : 1 | | | Drilling Method : | Light Percussion | | | | | |
| Date of Driling: Aug, | | 2023 | | Report No. | 10543-xxix/GSK | | | | |
| Assum | ed footir | ng width | (ft)= | 6 | | Name of Project: | Construction of Government Girls Elementary School | | |
| Borehol | e diame | ter (Inch | nes)= | 3 | | Client: | UNHCR | | |
| | | , | C _{S=} | 1 | | Location: | Dhok Budhal, Rawalpindi | | |
| | | | C _B = | 1 | | Depth of Borehole | 20ft | | |
| | | | E _{m=} | 0.55 | | Water Table Depth | Not Encountered | | |
| Select E | Efficienc | y Correc | ction | N70 | | Name of Tech: | Zohaib Ahmed | | |
| Depth | Pe | enetratio | on | N- Value | N70 | N70 Vs Depth | Soil Classification | Soil Profile | |
| ft | 6-in | 6-in | 6-in | 14- Value | 1470 | | John Classification | John Frome | |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 5.3 | 2.0 12.0 | CL - ML, Sandy Silty Clay | | |
| 10 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 6.5 | | CL - ML, Sandy Silty Clay | | |
| 15 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 8.0 | 15 | CL - ML, Sandy Silty Clay | | |
| 20 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 9.7 | | CL - ML, Sandy Silty Clay | | |



| BOREHOLE LOG & STANDARD PENETRATION TESTING (ASTM-1586-54) | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| BH No. : 2 | | | | Drilling Method : | Light Percussion | | | | | |
| Date of I | Driling: | | Aug, | 2023 | | Report No. | 10543-xxix/GSK | | | |
| Assum | ed footir | ng width | (ft)= | 6 | | Name of Project: | Construction of Governmen | t Girls Elementary School | | |
| Borehol | le diame | ter (Inch | nes)= | 3 | | Client: | UNHCR | | | |
| | | | C _{S=} | 1 | | Location: | Dhok Budhal, Rawalpindi | | | |
| | | | C _B = | 1 | | Depth of Borehole | 20ft | | | |
| | | | E _{m=} | 0.55 | Water Table Depth | | Not Encountered | | | |
| Select E | Efficienc | y Correc | ction | N70 | Name of Tech: | | Zohaib Ahmed | | | |
| Depth | Pe | enetration | on | N- Value | N70 | N70 Vs Depth | Soil Classification | Soil Profile | | |
| ft | 6-in | 6-in | 6-in | 14- Value | 1470 | | Oon olassincation | Gon't Tome | | |
| 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 4.7 | 2.0 12.0 | CL - ML, Sandy Silty Clay | | | |
| 10 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 6.5 | | CL - ML, Sandy Silty Clay | | | |
| 15 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 8.7 | 15 | CL - ML, Sandy Silty Clay | | | |
| 20 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 13 | 9.7 | | CL - ML, Sandy Silty Clay | | | |





Date Received: August, 2023 Project: Construction of Government Girls Elementary School.

Sample #: 1.00 Location: Dhok Budhal, Rawalpindi

Sample ID: 101.00 Boring #: 1
Source: Disturbed Sample Depth: 5ft - 10ft

ASTM D-2487, Unified Soils Classification System


CL-ML, Sandy Silty Clay **Liquid Limit Determination**


| Elquiu Ellilit Detel i | minution | | | | | |
|----------------------------|----------|--------|--------|----|----|----|
| | #1 | #2 | #3 | #4 | #5 | #6 |
| Weight of Wet Soils + Pan: | 26.87 | 27.52 | 25.46 | | | |
| Weight of Dry Soils + Pan: | 25.50 | 26.20 | 24.50 | | | |
| Weight of Pan: | 20.55 | 21.02 | 19.88 | | | |
| Weight of Dry Soils: | 4.95 | 5.18 | 4.62 | | | |
| Weight of Moisture: | 1.37 | 1.32 | 0.96 | | | |
| % Moisture: | 27.7 % | 25.5 % | 20.8 % | | | |
| N: | 12 | 22 | 39 | | | |


Liquid Limit @ 25 Blows: 24.5 %
Plastic Limit: 19.3 %
Plasticity Index, I_P: 5.2 %

Plastic Limit Determination

| | #1 | #2 | #3 | #4 | #5 | #6 |
|----------------------------|--------|----|----|----|----|----|
| Weight of Wet Soils + Pan: | 13.36 | | | | | |
| Weight of Dry Soils + Pan: | 12.95 | | | | | |
| Weight of Pan: | 10.82 | | | | | |
| Weight of Dry Soils: | 2.13 | | | | | |
| Weight of Moisture: | 0.41 | | | | | |
| % Moisture: | 19.3 % | | | | | |









Date Received: August, 2023 Project: Construction of Government Girls Elementary School.

Sample #: 1.00 Location: Dhok Budhal, Rawalpindi

Sample ID: 102.00 Boring #: 1

Source: Disturbed Sample Depth: 10ft - 20ft

ASTM D-2487, Unified Soils Classification System


CL-ML, Sandy Silty Clay **Liquid Limit Determination**


| Elquid Ellint Del | CI IIIIIIIIII | | | | | |
|--------------------------|---------------|--------|--------|----|----|----|
| | #1 | #2 | #3 | #4 | #5 | #6 |
| Weight of Wet Soils + Pa | n: 24.71 | 22.55 | 25.66 | | | |
| Weight of Dry Soils + Pa | an: 23.75 | 21.31 | 24.80 | | | |
| Weight of Pa | an: 20.67 | 16.28 | 20.75 | | | |
| Weight of Dry So | ds: 3.08 | 5.03 | 4.05 | | | |
| Weight of Moistu | re: 0.96 | 1.24 | 0.86 | | | |
| % Moistu | re: 31.2 % | 24.7 % | 21.2 % | | | |
| | N: 13 | 25 | 38 | | | |

Liquid Limit @ 25 Blows: 25.8 %
Plastic Limit: 20.6 %
Plasticity Index, I_P: 5.2 %


Plastic Limit Determination

| | #1 | #2 | #3 | #4 | #5 | #6 |
|----------------------------|--------|----|----|----|----|----|
| Weight of Wet Soils + Pan: | 11.23 | | | | | |
| Weight of Dry Soils + Pan: | 11.03 | | | | | |
| Weight of Pan: | 10.06 | | | | | |
| Weight of Dry Soils: | 0.97 | | | | | |
| Weight of Moisture: | 0.20 | | | | | |
| % Moisture: | 20.6 % | | | | | |





Address: 97-K Industrial Estate, Hayatabad Peshawar Phone: 091-5881841, Cell No: 0333-5177774 e-mail.pce.pesh@gmail.com





Date Received: August, 2023 Project: Construction of Government Girls Elementary School.

Sample #: 1.00 Location: Dhok Budhal, Rawalpindi

Sample ID: 201.00 Boring #: 2
Source: Disturbed Sample Depth: 5ft - 10ft

ASTM D-2487, Unified Soils Classification System


CL-ML, Sandy Silty Clay
Liquid Limit Determination


| Liquid Limit Determ | mauon | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------|--------|--------|----|----|----|
| | #1 | #2 | #3 | #4 | #5 | #6 |
| Weight of Wet Soils + Pan: | 26.88 | 27.54 | 25.54 | | | |
| Weight of Dry Soils + Pan: | 25.51 | 26.23 | 24.51 | | | |
| Weight of Pan: | 20.58 | 21.06 | 19.90 | | | |
| Weight of Dry Soils: | 4.93 | 5.17 | 4.61 | | | |
| Weight of Moisture: | 1.37 | 1.31 | 1.03 | | | |
| % Moisture: | 27.8 % | 25.3 % | 22.3 % | | | |
| N: | 12 | 22 | 39 | | | |
| £ | | | | | | |


Liquid Limit @ 25 Blows: 25.0 %
Plastic Limit: 19.2 %
Plasticity Index, I_P: 5.9 %

Plastic Limit Determination

| | #1 | #2 | #3 | #4 | #5 | #6 |
|----------------------------|--------|----|----|----|----|----|
| Weight of Wet Soils + Pan: | 13.38 | | | | | |
| Weight of Dry Soils + Pan: | 12.97 | | | | | |
| Weight of Pan: | 10.83 | | | | | |
| Weight of Dry Soils: | 2.14 | | | | | |
| Weight of Moisture: | 0.41 | | | | | |
| % Moisture: | 19.2 % | | | | | |









Date Received: August, 2023 Project: Construction of Government Girls Elementary School.

Sample #: 1.00 Location: Dhok Budhal, Rawalpindi

Sample ID: 202.00 **Boring #:** 2

Source: Disturbed Sample Depth: 10ft - 20ft

ASTM D-2487, Unified Soils Classification System

CL-ML, Sandy Silty Clay **Liquid Limit Determination**

| Elquid Ellini Beterinimuson | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|----|----|----|--|
| | #1 | #2 | #3 | #4 | #5 | #6 | |
| Weight of Wet Soils + Pan: | 24.75 | 22.45 | 25.67 | | | | |
| Weight of Dry Soils + Pan: | 23.79 | 21.20 | 24.82 | | | | |
| Weight of Pan: | 20.88 | 16.25 | 20.77 | | | | |
| Weight of Dry Soils: | 2.91 | 4.95 | 4.05 | | | | |
| Weight of Moisture: | 0.96 | 1.25 | 0.85 | | | | |
| % Moisture: | 33.0 % | 25.3 % | 21.0 % | | | | |
| N: | 14 | 25 | 35 | | | | |

Plastic Limit Determination

| | #1 | #2 | #3 | #4 | #5 | #6 |
|----------------------------|--------|----|----|----|----|----|
| Weight of Wet Soils + Pan: | 11.25 | | | | | |
| Weight of Dry Soils + Pan: | 11.04 | | | | | |
| Weight of Pan: | 10.02 | | | | | |
| Weight of Dry Soils: | 1.02 | | | | | |
| Weight of Moisture: | 0.21 | | | | | |
| % Moisture: | 20.6 % | | | | | |

