UNITED NATIONS HIGH COMMISSIONER FOR REFUGEES EVALUATION SERVICE (ES) -



UNHCR'S MANAGEMENT RESPONSE MATRIX

IMPLEMENTATION UPDATE APRIL 2017

| Title | Beyond Humanitarian Assistance? UNHCR and the Response to Syrian Refugees in Jordan and Lebanon, January 2013 – April 2014 |
|------------------------------------|--|
| Reference | PDES/2015/01 |
| Overall response to the evaluation | [In a couple of paragraphs please explain whether you agree with the broad conclusions of the review/evaluation and if you found it of good or poor quality in terms of methodology, clarity, usefulness of the key findings and recommendations] |
| | UNHCR welcomes the evaluation which provides a unique and helpful perspective on the agency's work in two countries, namely Jordan and Lebanon. The process provided an independent vantage point from which to collect and review a significant amount of information across key sectors of activity. UNHCR commends the breadth of interlocutors solicited for feedback during the evaluation and the scope of the data collected. Within the broad TORs of the evaluation, UNHCR values the identification of key aspects of the response and the analysis provided by the evaluation, which – in many instances – corresponds to priorities and key concerns pursued by UNHCR already during the evaluation period as well as since its conclusion. Unfortunately, in a fast evolving and complex protracted emergency environment, many of the observations, analysis and recommendations contained in the evaluation report have meanwhile been overtaken by events and are thus outdated in terms of immediate relevancy. |
| Planned use of the | [In a couple of paragraphs please outline what actions you will take as a result of this review/evaluation such as 1) improvement of a |

evaluation

programme or policy; 2) generating knowledge]

The number of interviews conducted during the evaluation gives a helpful indication of how UNHCR policies and practise are viewed by a wide range of actors and will help inform discussions and future interactions with various stakeholders.

The report is useful in as it validates a number of actions that UNHCR has pursued during the last year as part of regular activities, appreciating that many of the recommendations were raised previously in real-time during discussions, respective exit conferences and in previous drafts. The evaluation underscores the importance of documenting innovations, new processes and approaches as well as good practices.

UNHCR recognizes that evaluations, including real-time or independent evaluations are best practices and should be incorporated from the outset in significant refugee emergencies.

A. OVERALL

Overall Recommendation a.

The evaluation recommends overall that the respective roles of RC/HCs, UNCTs, HCTs in relation to UNHCR's coordination mandate be clarified with a view to improving the effectiveness of the response in L3 level refugee emergencies.

Management Response to Recommendation

Agree ☐ Partially Agree ☐ Disagree☐

UNHCR's mandate for refugees includes the coordination of any refugee response, in support of the governments of countries receiving refugees, and it is on this basis that UNHCR has coordinated the refugee response in the region since the outset of the crisis. UNHCR moreover recognizes the strain on host countries' and communities' infrastructure and services, the need to anchor sustainability and resilience within and alongside the humanitarian refugee response as well as support national leadership. To that end, UNHCR worked with governments, other UN agencies, Resident/Humanitarian Coordinators, UN country teams, the Syria NGO Forum and other stakeholders to re-formulate the coordination infrastructure and leadership of the response, resulting in the Regional Refugee and Resilience Response Plan (3RP) which was launched in December 2014. The coordination infrastructure is briefly described in the 3RP Strategic Regional Overview (page 41) as well as in the "Roadmap" finalized and circulated in September 2014.

UNHCR takes this opportunity to re-iterate its commitment to coordinate refugee emergencies globally, pursuant to its mandate and functions, as per the UNHCR/OCHA Joint MoU signed on 24 April 2014 which stipulates the respective roles and responsibilities of UNHCR and Humanitarian coordinators in a refugee emergency.

| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
|--|--|--------------------------------|---|---------------|--|
| UNHCR has further clarified the interagency relationship for the Syria refugee situation in the 3RP Regional Strategic Overview. Globally, roles and responsibilities in a refugee emergency have been re-confirmed in the MOU signed between OCHA and UNHCR on 24 April 2014. | The Directors of DESS and DER | Completed | Completed | 3RP | Joint UNHCR-OCHA Note on Mixed Situations: Coordination in Practice Syria situation Roadmap September 2014 3RP Regional Strategic Overview (page 41) |

tools such as reporting, information management and sector strategy development. Among the many innovative approaches are the development of an emergency registration tool, the roll-out of iris-recognition technology, new tools for communication with persons of concern, including on-line tools, a streamlined refugee status determination and resettlement procedure, RAIS and its modules for home visits, targeting models. The roll-out of some of these tools required consultations with a number of HQs Divisions, including DFAM, DIST, DHRM and DIP. UNHCR also acknowledges that uncertain funding from the donor community necessitated that

partnership agreements had to be countlessly revised contingent upon funding contributions.

| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
|---|---|--|---|---|--|
| The Syria response countries are now asked to make plans for twelve months, select and sign partner agreements as early as possible and UNHCR has authorized a higher level of funding available in advance of actual cash contributions. | MENA Bureau | In place since 2014, and significant improvements in implementation during 2014 and in 2015. | cancelled) Completed, subject to ongoing monitoring. | The Syria response countries are now asked to make plans for twelve months, select and sign partner agreements as early as possible and UNHCR has authorized a higher level of funding available in advance of actual cash contributions. | Internal communications, Representative meeting minutes and Operating Levels compared to income. |

| Overall Recommendation c. [cited in the report as Recommendation 23 for the Lebanon response]: | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| UNHCR should develop systems to better track earmarked funding from donors in the field that will help programme staff understand which financial resources are currently available. | | | | | | | | |
| Management Response to Recommendation | | | | | | | | |
| Agree ■ Partially Agree □ Disagree □ | | | | | | | | |

| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
|----------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Roll-out of donor | | | cancelled) | A strategic meeting took | February 2014 Meeting |
| earmarking chart, weekly | MENA Bureau | | | place in February 2014 | minutes, monthly donor |
| contribution charts to | | Mid-2014, subject to | Completed. | bringing together Budget, | earmarking pivot charts |
| External Relations and | | ongoing monitoring. | | Donor Resource | and weekly donor charts. |
| Programme staff, as well | | | | mobilization (HQ) as well | |
| as senior managers, closer | | | | as programme and | |
| cooperation between | | | | external relation | |
| programme and external | | | | colleagues to agree on | |
| relations staff. | | | | tracking methods and | |
| | | | | support greater | |
| | | | | cooperation. | |
| | | | | | |

A. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE JORDAN RESPONSE

| Recommendation 1: [cited in the report as Recommendation 6 for the Jordan response, Section 6.3.7, pg. 67 and pg. 20] MANAGEMENT/PROGRAMME |
|---|
| UNHCR should develop a strategy to transition funding and activities that can be addressed effectively by the Government and other actors and that are more in line with the Government's National Resilience Plan. This should allow UNHCR to better focus on refugees' protection and assistance needs. |
| Management Response to Recommendation 1. |
| Agree ☐ Partially Agree ■ Disagree ☐ |
| UNHCR fully supports Government leadership of the response and as per the UNHCR policy on refugee protection and solutions in urban areas, focuses on national and local systems, supporting access to health and education in national systems, avoiding duplication and inefficiencies arising from establishing parallel services for refugees. This policy has been further enhanced in the planning for 2015-2016, through the 3RP, comprising a refugee and a resilience component, the national chapter of which is the Jordan Response Plan. UNHCR is keen to see the Jordan response Plan deliver the needed and increased support for resilience and development. |
| |

| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
|--|---|-----------------------------|---|---|--|
| Development of a refugee and resilience component of the 2015 response, the 3RP | UNHCR Jordan | | Completed | 3RP Jordan Response Plan (JRP) that consolidates the humanitarian and protection needs, under the refugee response, with resilience and development components. | UNHCR policy on refugee protection and solutions in urban areas. JRP, 3RP |

| Recommendation 2 [cited in the report as Recommendation 5 for the Jordan response, Section 6.3.6, pg. 65&66 and pg. 20] MANAGEMENT/PROGRAMME/INTER-AGENCY (?) | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Refine objectives for RRP7 or any successor programme to ensure that they are specific, measurable and achievable, and that they are sufficiently aligned with the GoJ National Resilience Plan while ensuring that refugees' protection and assistance needs are addressed. With these strategic objectives in place, all sector level objectives should be clearly supportive of these broader objectives. | | | | | | | | |
| Management Response t | o Recommendation | | | | | | | |
| Agree \square Partially Agree $oldsymbol{I}$ | ■ Disagree □ | | | | | | | |
| The objectives of the 3RP, of which the JRP represents Jordan's chapter, are specific, measurable and achievable, and complement to the extent possible the National Resilience Plan, while also ensuring that UNHCR's international refugee protection mandate and responsibility to provide assistance is maintained. | | | | | | | | |
| Actions Planned Responsible Department / Service / Office Responsible Department / Service / Office Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) Actions Taken Supporting Documents | | | | | | | | |

| Development of the 3RP and Jordan Response Plan | UNHCR Jordan / MENA Bureau | | Completed | 3RP JRP | Jordan Response Plan 2016-2018 and Jordan Response Plan 2017-2019 (available at http://www.jrpsc.org/) 3RP Regional Strategic Overview 2016-2017; 3RP Regional Strategic Overview 2017-2018 (available at http://www.3rpsyriacrisis.org/publications/ |
|---|-------------------------------|--|-----------|------------|--|
|---|-------------------------------|--|-----------|------------|--|

Recommendation 3: [cited in the report as Recommendation 7 for the Jordan response, Section 6.4.2, pg. 68-69 and pg. 20] MANAGEMENT/VAF/LIVELIHOOD UNHCR should build on the relationship it has developed with the World Bank by devising standard metrics, database structures, and business processes for the collection and analysis of economic data. This would establish data-rich analytical links between UNHCR's humanitarian response and shifts towards resilience and development. **Management Response to Recommendation** Agree ☐ Partially Agree ■ Disagree ☐ Responsible Status (not started, in **Expected** Department **Actions Planned** progress, completed, **Actions Taken Supporting Documents** Completion / Service / Office cancelled) Date As the models are being created a simultaneous Process to code the models in both R process to code the script and a dedicated RAIS module has **UNHCR** Jordan Completed Full documentation available models in both R script been finalized in 2015 and models are and a dedicated RAIS currently working. module is also underway

| There are discussions at the global, regional and country level with the World Bank on strengthened cooperation. | MENA Bureau/UNHCR Senior Management | Completed | Meetings continue to be convened with the WB at the global and regional levels. UNHCR/WB collaboration has continued to expand with UNHCR playing an active role in designing the bank's program for results (P4R) in Jordan. UNHCR and WB jointly monitor and support the work permit initiative. This is an ongoing process. | |
|---|--|-----------|--|---|
| UNHCR will continue to work with the World Bank team on refining the econometric models to assess refugee vulnerability. Two models are being built and tested one based on ProGres data and one based on the VAF/home visit data in Jordan | UNHCR Jordan / MENA Bureau | Completed | Models have been completed and are operational. The refining of the econometric models will continuously be implemented, being the review/update an ongoing process. | Full documentation on modelling is available. |

Recommendation 4: [cited in the report as Recommendation 1 for the Jordan response, Section 6.1.8, pg. 52-54 and pg. 20]

Address increasing protection issues. This should include a specific strategy that may include efforts to increase and adapt the engagement of other partners, including other UN organizations, NGOs, and governments, and continued consultation with the Government of Jordan. This should be measured by a direct decrease in the number of reported protection issues, thus reversing the current trend.

| Management Response | to Recommendation | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| various specific existing strategic protection prio 3RP. Building on the pro to harmonise SOPs and organizations, NGOs (na between humanitarian response. UNHCR and incorporating refugees in based protection, reduct positive interventions at | need to continuously address protection challenges. Such a rities in early 2013. It has been tection strategy, inter-agency national family protection fractional and international) and and national procedures and other UN agencies have engon national protection systems tion of statelessness and child to camp level noted in the reproduction of Jordan as protection | protection strategy, addre negularly updated by the Child Protection and SGBV amework. The inter-agency processes, and greater in aged in joint programminhas been further strength protection and SGBV. Prot are one element of the | sues by developing and adapting protectionsing the specific protection challenges, he under the specific protection working Group and Standard Operating Procedures were decryprotection strategy highlights the capary protection coordination focused on hunclusion of refugees in national protection and capacity building of national entened in 2015 (JRP/3RP), and is in line with the comprehensive country protection strain advocate for a protection legal and protection legal and protection is the strain of the specific protection is the comprehensive country protection strain advocate for a protection legal and protection is the specific protection in the specific protection is the specific p | nas been developed thrid is, inter alia, also artiveloped (and lastly reviacities and strengths of manitarian protection on systems with a viewitities. The focus of the UNHCR's global and component of UNHCR ategy. UNHCR continued | rough an inter-agency workshop on culated in the RRP6 and later in the ised in December 2014) in an effort of a variety of actors, including UN activities increasing harmonization of the ne Jordan protection response on regional strategies on community-'s overall protection response. The esto invest in both the capacity of | | | | |
| Actions Planned Responsible Department/ Service / Office Expected Completion date Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) Actions Taken Supporting Documents | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Recommendation 5: [cited in the report as Recommendation 1 for the Jordan response, Section 6.1.8, pg. 52-54 and pg. 21] PROTECTION/DETENTION

Monitor access to the territory and incidents of deportation in a systematic way to adopt a strategic approach in advocacy while increasing outreach to those seeking refuge. While UNHCR has increased its efforts to be present at the borders and in outreach on both sides, without direct oversight and third-party monitoring the size of the problem remains relatively unknown. Also, it is unclear whether those seeking refuge are aware of the different forms of recourse. This implies a much more coordinated information system between regional actors.

| Management Response to Recomn | nendation | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|---|---|
| with a view to maintaining protection advocacy strategy and actions to the | erritory, UNHCR Jor on space for all refu e changing context, ome of its efforts m | gees, including S with a view to for ore visible, for e | yrians, as part of a bro ulfilling its mandate wh xample providing upda | ader protection and assistance st nile maintaining the constructive of | nstructive advocacy with the Government of Jordan rategy. UNHCR Jordan will continuously adapt its engagement with the Government of Jordan. As of well as by undertaking joint advocacy demarches, |
| Actions Planned | Responsible Department | Expected | Status (not started, in progress, | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |

| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
|---|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Continued increase border monitoring missions (Protection, Health, Material Assistance) | UNHCR Jordan / MENA Bureau | | Completed | UNHCR established interagency Border Groups (include sub-groups on Protection and Health) strengthened to monitor borders, liaise with the community at the berm and coordinate activities. UNHCR Ruwaished Field Office established. Increased border monitoring missions, subject to GoJ's missions' clearances based on ongoing security risk assessments Data maintenance and sharing on forcible and spontaneous | JRP Border Inter-agency Protection Strategy Border Referral Pathways for Child Protection and SGBV Internal Guidance |

| Continued close coordination with ICRC on border issues | Completed | returns strengthened for advocacy purposes The close coordination with ICRC has continued. Close coordination on admission of "war wounded" cases at western and eastern border crossings Development of "war wounded" operational guidance | Standard Operating Procedures for "War Wounded" at Border |
|---|-----------|--|---|
| Continued increased multi-level advocacy | Completed | Multi-level advocacy continued to be coordinated under the UNHCR's led refugee response | IATF Common Advocacy Messages, May 2015 Protection Working Group Key Advocacy Messages (April 2016, August 2016) |
| Continued advocacy with donors and development actors for increased support to Jordan's hosting capacity (infrastructure and State institutions) Increased access to the territory is part of a larger multi-layered strategic response, one that includes monitoring and advocacy interventions but also focuses on increasing protection space through support and assistance to | Completed | Increased linkages between humanitarian and development actors with a view to increasing protection space & minimizing strain on Jordanian resources and infrastructure Close coordination with embassies, donors and other partners in joint advocacy (i.e. London Conferences) Increased coordination with ICRC and partners on border | The Jordan Compact: A New Holistic Approach between the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan and the International Community to deal with the Syrian Refugee Crisis (available at https://www.supportingsyria2016.com/news/key-documents-from-supporting-syria-and-the-region-conference-now-available/) Brussels Conference: Supporting the future of Syria and the region: co-chairs declaration (available at |

host communities, national institutions and infrastructure

Increased training and advocacy for authorities, and support to the GoJ on social cohesion and highlighting the benefits of hosting refugee populations.

issues and assistance at the border
Increased efforts to monitor access to the territory and incidents of deportation with added coordination with external actors

Clear coordination and reporting lines for allegations of deportation and denial of access through the Border Support Unit, the UNHCR team in Raba Al Sarhan and the Detention/Legal Unit in the Amman Office.

UNHCR sought to strengthen contact with networks on the other side of the border but conditions inside southern Syria and in border areas remain fluid and extremely challenging, with the possibility of informing prospective refugees of their options to access international protection remote.

declaration/)

Jordan Response Plan 2016-2018 and Jordan Response Plan 2017-2019, Resilience (available at http://www.irpsc.org/)

3RP Regional Strategic Overview 2016-2017; 3RP Regional Strategic Overview 2017-2018 (available at http://www.3rpsyriacrisis.org/publications/)

Extensive training conducted to government counterparts.

Community Support Centers established (with Jordanians and refugees of different nationalities) to support the social cohesion.

| Recommendation 6: [cited in the report as Recommendation 3 for the Jordan response, Section 6.1.8, pg. 52-54 and pg. 21] PROTECTION/S | Recommendation 6 | cited in the report as Recommendation | 1 3 for the Jordan response, | Section 6.1.8, pg. 52-54 and | pg. 21] PROTECTION/SGB |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|

Information about restrictions of movement for women and girls, for fear of harassment and physical attacks must be dealt with more consistently and perhaps separately from SGBV. While most efforts for SGBV protection are proving effective, there remain gaps in non-camp settings.

Management Response to Recommendation

Agree ☐ Partially Agree ■ Disagree ☐

UNHCR Jordan and partners, in particular UNICEF and UNFPA, have consistently addressed and strengthened programmatic responses to protection risks faced by women and girls in urban, peri-urban and rural settings, not limited to the SGBV prevention and response mechanisms. This priority has been highlighted in the RRP6 as well as later, in the 3RP/2015 appeal, and was regularly reconfirmed as a necessity in gaps identification. In regard to gender equality in December 2013 UNHCR, as co-chair of Protection WG and SGBV Sub-Working Group, contributed to the development of a common position and approaches to address restricted movement and limited access to services for Syrian women and girls. The broader need for gender equality interventions is recognized in the Government's Jordan Response Plan (JRP), where gender equality and an increased focus on protection services is a key priority. UNHCR, UNICEF and UNFPA are working with the National Council for Family Affairs (NCFA) and other governmental counterparts, along with development actors such as UN Women and the Ministry of Social Development (MoSD), so that this priority features in the longer-term development and resilience response, including through the JRP and the UNAF (e.g. Gender Theme Group).

| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
|--|---|--------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Increased use of Community Centres and Women's & Girls' Safe Spaces for outreach to women & girls, and increased use of home visits | | | | Only one activity was not completed in 2014, related to engaging men and boys. May 2015 workshop on engaging men and boys advanced the remaining element. | Briefing Note on Syrian Refugees with restricted movements living outside camps in Jordan (December 2013). |
| Increased use of community-based protection mechanisms, including community Mobilizers, community escorts, increased use of CBOs, local NGOs, Cash Assistance to facilitate transportation | UNHCR Protection/CS and partners | | Completed | During 2015, there was an extensive and continuing focus on engaging men and boys in SGBV, including a workshop in May and the dedication of 16 Days of Activism in November-December to the issue. | Briefing Note, 16 Days of Activism, December 2015 (Engaging Men and Boys) Briefing Note, Workshop on Engaging Men and Boys in SGBV |
| Increased information dissemination on services available, including on | | | | Extensive additional focus on | Prevention, June 2015 |

| hotline/Referral Pathways, community events/ awareness | community-based approaches demonstrated through Community | Inter-Agency Protection Strategy. |
|---|--|---|
| raising, mobile phones/Sim Cards Engaging Men and Boys | Support Centers, identified as a UNHCR good practice for community-based | RRP 6 Annual Report. |
| Advocacy with other sectors. | protection and social cohesion. | Amani Campaign |
| | | Inter-agency CP & GBV SOP edition 2014 |
| | | Women and Girls Safe Spaces Briefing Note. Syrian Crisis response in Jordan |
| | | Community-Based Protection Good Practices: UNHCR Jordan |
| | | Community Support Committees |

Recommendation 7: [cited in the report as Recommendation 14 for the Jordan response, Section 6.9.5, pg. 22] INTER-AGENCY

UNHCR could increase not only the value but also the effectiveness of its leadership role through more detailed performance appraisals of funded partners. This can become a useful tool for all coordination activities and towards more focused results. This could include greater due diligence on existing competencies, gaps, and historical performance with benchmarks and key performance indicators for their work under the RRP. Conducting performance appraisals would ensure better performance and increase UNHCR's value overall.

| Management Response to Recommendation | Management | Response t | to Recomm | endation |
|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|----------|
|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|-----------|----------|

Agree ☐ Partially Agree ■ Disagree ☐

UNHCR is the co-lead of the Refugee and Resilience Response Plan for the Syria situation, bringing together diverse humanitarian actors to respond to humanitarian and resilience needs. UNHCR's leadership role in monitoring the response is through dashboards charting key performance targets and progress thus far. This system is similar to the IASC cluster mechanism and subject to the same global oversight, which heretofore has not accepted that the appeal convener and coordinator monitor and evaluate humanitarian actors. [Such accountabilities are held by the agencies themselves and their donors]. Actors within the humanitarian response would find it unacceptable for UNHCR to monitor and conduct performance evaluations of individual response partners, except for those actors which are directly funded by UNHCR. UNHCR, however, in coordination with the response actors is working to develop monitoring and evaluation standards for the response as well as assistance impact indicators, which goes beyond performance targets. UNHCR does monitor its funded partners as per UNHCR's Framework for Implementing Partners, which was fully rolled out in 2014.

| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
|--|---|--------------------------------|--|--|---|
| Roll-out of UNHCR's Framework for Implementing partners | UNHCR Jordan / MENA Bureau | | Completed | In line with UNHCR's efforts to enhance the Framework for Implementing Partners, and directly in compliance with the Global Guidance note 1: Selection and Retention of Partners for Project Partnership Agreements (July 2013), UNHCR Jordan carried out selection and retention process for partners in all sectors of intervention. | Implementing Partner Management Guidance Note 1: Selection and Retention |
| Development of interagency monitoring and evaluation standards | | | Completed | Inter-agency monitoring and evaluation standards follows the logical framework of the Jordan Response Plan under the GoJ leadership (such as indicators for planned projects in each sector) | ActivityInfo Database for Monitoring and Reporting; Sector Dashboard |

| Recommendation 8: [cited in the report as Recommendation 9 for the Jordan response, Section 6.5.8, pg. 84-91 and pg. 22] HEALTH | |
|---|---------|
| WHO and other relevant actors should involve MoH in addressing access to health services and referrals for Syrian refugees as well as establish quality standards. This wo the best solution for the referral problems encountered. | ould be |
| | |

Management Response to Recommendation

Agree □ Partially Agree ■ Disagree □

If disagree, explain the reason why:

WHO is involved in the Inter-Sector Health Working Group in Jordan and is a member of the 3RP Steering Committee, as well as the Technical Advisory Committee at the regional level. UNHCR as a co-lead of the Health Working Group contributes to the strategy as well as to planning and promoting a harmonised approach to health interventions, in close cooperation with the Ministry of Health.

| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
|--|---|--------------------------------|---|---|----------------------|
| UNHCR continue to review and work to resolve specific issues with certain Ministry of Health hospitals | UNHCR Jordan through WHO Jordan | | Completed | UNHCR and JHAS established a broader referral network beyond the Ministry of Health through a competitive tendering process. Since 2016, JHAS has expanded the number of its referral hospital in order to face the increasing need of the PoC with more than 10 hospital. 3RP (including regional Health Strategy) JRP | Tender and contracts |

<u>Recommendation 9:</u> [cited in the report as Recommendation 10 for the Jordan response, Section 6.9.2, pg. 101 and pg. 22] HUMAN RESOURCES

Conduct a staffing/performance audit designed to pinpoint possible gaps (probably at the sub-office level) and redundancies (possibly at the Country Office level). While difficult to ascertain in this Evaluation, the staffing of Country Office posts has been somewhat erratic: it is not clear whether the staffing balance is now correct or bloated. Any staffing review should include regular staff, international staff, consultants and other short-term contracts, including UNOPS contracts, and any and all other relevant staff.

| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
|--|--|--|---|--|----------------------|
| The office has continued to adapt staffing across all categories as a function of the changing operational context and needs. | UNHCR Jordan/UNHCR Lebanon/MENA Bureau/ODMS/DHRM | Completed | Completed | A comprehensive joint review of UNHCR Jordan as well as UNHCR Lebanon staffing was carried out by the Organizational Development and Management Service, the Regional Bureau and the Division of Human Resources Management in 2014. | |
| ecommendation 10: [cited | d in the report as Recommen | dation 11 for the Jord | lan response, Section 6.9.2, pg | . 101 and pg. 22] SUPPLY | |
| Conduct a business process | ot be wholly efficient. This re | onse has included the | e development of several busi | . 101 and pg. 22] SUPPLY ness processes, standard operating procedures, ar and direct) related to these processes without sacr | • |
| Conduct a business process activities that may or may not have a second of the conduct of the co | ot be wholly efficient. This re | onse has included the view should be design expectage. | e development of several busined to decrease costs (indirect sed to decrease costs) Status (not started progress, complete | ness processes, standard operating procedures, ar and direct) related to these processes without sacr | • |

Management Response to Recommendation

| carried out at present, the experience gained would now allow for a more focused analysis on possible savings as part of regular programme reviews | refugees in an efficient way: proof of concept; obtaining empirical evidence of effectiveness through monitoring and review; risk-based approach to ensure processes are robust; development and implementation of new management tools for protection and solution (i.e. AIM) to manage enhanced resettlement programme (UNHCR Jordan was the |
|--|--|
| | programme (UNHCR Jordan was the largest resettlement operation globally in 2016 and 2017 with an acceptance |
| | rate over 90%); cash assistance methodology (use of the CCF for the |
| | cost efficiency of the support costs). |

| Recommendation 11: [cited in to Review/revise terms with all commonths. This is standard practices | ommercial suppliers. Ensure th | - | | g. 22] SUPPLY d cost efficiencies over time, e.g. a | 5% decrease after 12 | |
|--|--|--------------------------|---|--|-------------------------|--|
| Management Response to Reco | mmendation | | | | | |
| Agree ■ Partially Agree □ | Agree ■ Partially Agree □ Disagree □ | | | | | |
| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents | |
| This topic will be discussed in Annual Supply Conference in June 2015. | UNHCR Jordan in consultation with SMS Budapest as appropriate. | July 2015 | Completed | The topic was discussed during the conference. The plenary noted that the KPI implementation in the procurement process is linked to the specificity of each | | |

| | | | | tender. | |
|--|---|--|--|---|---------------------------------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Review of Vendor Review Committee guidelines is also under the process by PMCS. | Ma | rch 2015 | Completed | VRC re-organized in BO Amman in March 2015 and is well-functioning. It is to be noted that the revision of VRC guidelines does not fall under the country office (Jordan)'s area of responsibility. | |
| I | | | | area or responsibility. | |
| Recommendation 12: [cited in the report as I | ecommendation 13 for the . | Jordan response, S | ection 6.9.2, pg. 101 and p | g. 22] SUPPLY | |
| Review other significant cost centres, e.g. flee | • | • | | - | |
| Recommendation 12: [cited in the report as Review other significant cost centres, e.g. fleet Management Response to Recommendation Agree Partially Agree Disagree | t management, and ensure t | • | | - | |
| Review other significant cost centres, e.g. flee Management Response to Recommendation | t management, and ensure to the management were already s well as procurement of go to increase safety and security | that there are adec in place, namely ods and services re | through a new lease progrelated to vehicles assengers. The VTS data is | rasures in place. Tamme, which began in January 2014 and howere fitted with vehicle tracking systems (V) routed to one focal point in Supply, one in | ΓS) that provid Admin and th |
| Review other significant cost centres, e.g. flee Management Response to Recommendation Agree Partially Agree Disagree Cost-effectiveness measures in regard to flee further strengthened. Insurance and disposal addata to improve the utilisation of vehicles and Senior Driver and enables immediate action and | t management, and ensure to the management were already s well as procurement of go to increase safety and security | that there are adec in place, namely ods and services re | through a new lease progrelated to vehicles assengers. The VTS data is | rasures in place. Tamme, which began in January 2014 and howere fitted with vehicle tracking systems (V) routed to one focal point in Supply, one in | ΓS) that provid Admin and th |

| new larger location in Khalda that provides sufficient space for staff and is a better fit for use as a registration facility. Certain units (Resettlement, Refugee Status Determination) remain at the Dheir Gbar location. In order to ensure that refugee needs are more effectively met in the newer facility, and to reduce costs, the consolidation of the Dheir Gbar and Khalda premises is underway, with completion of the move from Dheir Gbar planned to be completed by the end of 2015 | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------|---|
| | | | Fleet management – BO Amman recruited (November 2014) a Fleet Manager to improve the use, maintenance and attribution of office vehicles. A review of log books demonstrates that these are correctly maintained. Still, control has been reinforced. |
| The roll-out in 2015 of the vehicle main tenance and repair project is expected to generate further savings in the longer term. | November 2016 | Completed | Regarding purchasing of Fuel, Supplier (vendor) was requested to modify existing or create new Report Currently, the office is using FleetWave module to capture data related to vehicles Refuelling and Maintenance/Repair. This helps in the way of data integrity, ongoing correspondence for reporting customization to enable statistical reports. However, as this module doesn't help in possibility to make statistics on vehicles utilization data (mileage, destinations, offices etc.); the office is still using excel sheets to make those specific statistics. |

| Recommendation 13: [cited in | n the report as Recommendatio | on 14 for the Jordan | response, Section 6.5.3, | pg. 71-73 and pg. 23] OPS/ZAATARI | |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---|--|-------------------------|
| _ | | | | ion" put forward by the Head of the UNHCR Sub-Off 's current and eventual administrative status. | ice. UNHCR is quite |
| Management Response to Re | commendation | | | | |
| Agree ■Partially Agree □ | ☐ Disagree ☐ | | | | |
| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
| Strategies have been developed and progress is on-going in the following sectors: WASH, shelter, energy and community engagement. | UNHCR Jordan / MENA Bureau | Sept 2017 | Completed | The coordination of activities has been enhanced. Regular review of activities. The developed strategies for WASH, shelter, energy and community engagement are under review, as part of an ongoing process. | Communiqué |
| | | | | | |
| Recommendation 14: [cited in | the report as Recommendation | n 15 for the Jordan r | esponse, Section 6.10.4, | pg. 108-109 and pg. 23] INTER-AGENCY | |
| Develop a section of the Inter- report that could be used for se | | • • | disseminate refugee fee | dback. This would allow for the aggregation of results | into a periodic |
| Management Response to Rec | ommendation | | | | |
| Agree ■ Partially Agree ☐ | Disagree □ | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
|--|---|--------------------------------|---|---|---|
| Development of web portal 'Talking with Refugees', including feedback and comments functionality | UNHCR Jordan | | Completed | A web portal developed by UNHCR Jordan called Talking with Refugees (TWR) – is dedicated exclusively to communication with refugees living in Jordan. The web portal aims to "give refugees a voice" by publishing stories from and about refugees in Jordan, and by giving refugees the opportunity to provide feedback and suggestions online The "feedback/comments" functionality was installed on the web portal http://help.unhcr.jo/blog/ and was activated in 2015 after further testing. | Home page of the "Talking with Refugees" web portal http://help.unhcr.jo/blog/ |

B. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE LEBANON RESPONSE

| Recommendation 1: | | | | | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | | |
| Clarify focus, priorities and consequences. There will be a need for the international community to support UNHCR's leadership in Lebanon. Beyond the RRP or revised appeal framework (3RP), the evaluation recommends that UNHCR clarify its own strategic priorities and its focus in worst-case funding scenarios, including the adverse effects and risks of this focus on the excluded population and sectors, and in terms of exacerbating tensions, potentially limiting or affecting future refugee access, etc. | | | | | |
| Management Response to | Recommendation | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Agree ☐ Partially A | gree ■ Disagree □ | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | B. 10 | | | | |
| UNHCR Lebanon has prese | ented strategic priorities ac | ross all sectors as expressed | d in the Lebanon country o | nerations plan field level p | plans, monthly sectoral updates, and |
| I - | | a funding gaps document up | ·- | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Country reports and produ | ices and regularly presents a | a runding gaps document up | dated on at least a quarter | ly basis. This has been cont | inueu in 2015. |
| | | | | | 1 |
| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |

| Review and updating of UNHCR's strategic priorities for 2015 | | Completed | UNHCR presented strategic priorities across all sectors as expressed in the Lebanon country operations plan, field level plans, monthly sectoral updates, and country reports and produces and regularly presents a funding gaps document updated on at least a quarterly basis. This has been continued in 2015, 2016, 2017 and will continue in years to come. | 3 RP Lebanon Response Plan | | | |
|--|---|-----------|--|-------------------------------|--|--|--|
| monitor their take-up of coordination lead role. In importance of UNHCR's he | Recommendation 2: Continue to devolve responsibility. While difficult or uncertain, UNHCR should progressively reassign responsibility for certain sectors to other actors/UN agencies and monitor their take-up of these. A sector-by-sector, activity-by-activity specific strategy should be developed where UNHCR retains a clear inter-sector inter-agency coordination lead role. In the case of Lebanon, this recommendation does not apply to the health sector given UNHCR's coordination capacity at the Beirut level, the importance of UNHCR's health programme and WHO's role and capacity in the country. Management Response to Recommendation | | | | | | |

| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
|--|---|--------------------------|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| LOU revision with UNICEF, including concerning coordination on education and WASH sectors. | UNHCR Lebanon | July 2015 | On-going Completed | Inter-Agency Coordination Unit within UNHCR has initiated devolving of coordination responsibility, working with partners such as UNICEF, UNDP, WHO and others to hand over primary responsibility for key sectors UNICEF took over the coordination role in Water and Waste Management, Education and sub-group on child protection and SGBV | LoU between UNHCR and UNICEF LCRP |
| | UNHCR Lebanon | Early 2015 | Completed | As per the Regional refugee response plan (3RP) UNDP and UNHCR built a co-leadership role for the intersector/inter-agency group UNDP is the lead in the Social Cohesion and Livelihoods sectors. | 3RP, LCRP |

| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| Minister of Social Affairs and sectors, such as Health (Min | I the RC/HC in collaboration vistry of Public Health), Educa | with the Crisis Cell and lead tation (Ministry of Education a | UN agencies. At the sector levand Higher Education), Protec | mmittee has been established, wel, line ministries are actively invaling (MoSA), Livelihoods (Ministricipation in the Refugee Respon | olved in the majority ory of Labor) and Shelte |
| The Crisis Cell is the highest | national authority regarding | the crisis response in countr | y. The Crisis Cell mandated th | Municipalities, Social Affairs, For ne Ministry of Social Affairs (MoS | A) to oversee the GoL' |
| Agree 🔳 Partially Agree 🗌 | Disagree 🗌 | | | | |
| Management Response to R | ecommendation | | | | |
| • | of the problems plaguing the | | | Syrian Refugees . Ideally, this wo | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | Foo | d Security sector | |
| | | | | is the lead in the | |

| RRP6 steering committee | UNHCR Lebanon / | Completed | Completed | Steering committee chaired by MOSA, operational for the duration of 2014 | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|---|--|
| LCRP steering committee | | Completed | Completed | Created at launch of LCRP; chaired by MOSA and RC/HC, attended by key LCRP appealing UN, GoL, NGOs reps and donors; aims to meet quarterly | Terms of Reference LCRP Steering Committee |
| RACE executive committee | | Completed | Completed | Created in Sept 2014, led by MEHE, attended by UN and donors, continuous for the two year duration of the RACE proposal. Challenge – limited engagement with the sector mechanism of response | |
| Health steering committee | | Completed | Completed | Initiated by MoPH, first meeting March 19th, sets strategic direction for health sector, attended by key UN agencies and donors, chaired by DG MoPH | |

Recommendation 4:

While a great deal of work is being done, a more comprehensive and detailed strategy should be developed for social cohesion in Lebanon. Various initiatives have been launched and will surely have an impact. However, they are not coordinated effectively nor are their targets and expected results sufficiently harmonised to ensure appropriate coverage and positive impact. This social cohesion strategy should account for the complexities associated with different contexts/locations and the varying ways in which local and national government entities can be engaged. This should include refined indicators and monitoring systems to ensure that mid-term adjustments can be made. This is particularly important given the potential conflicts that could emerge between refugees and host communities. As social cohesion remains an important protection objective it should be established jointly by UNDP and UNHCR.

| Management Response | to Recommendation |
|---------------------|-------------------|
|---------------------|-------------------|

Agree ■ Partially Agree □ Disagree □

| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|---|---|---|
| With the start of the LCRP, UNDP is taking a stronger lead in the social cohesion sector, and the sector will develop strategies that take into account regional complexities. | UNHCR Lebanon | Work on-going throughout the year | LCRP strategy: completed UNHCR strategy: In progress | 1-Social stability sector (lead by UNDP, MOSA, MoIM and co-lead by UNHCR) developed the 2017 sector strategy taking into account the regional complexities, as well as ensuring planning & implementation. 2-UNHCR strategy in addition to mainstreaming social cohesion across all sectors-included working closely with all actors and partners on supporting local communities, key national and local institutions to mitigate tensions and prevent conflict. | LCRP documents UNHCR Year-end and Planning documents |

| | | | | The strategy is in line with government Stabilizat Roadmap. | |
|--|---|-------------------------------|---|---|----------------------------|
| feedback. This should cont | | inderstanding that, while not | everyone is competent to m | anage protection cases, every | yone should, as a minimum, |
| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
| Continuous training activities Develop a training strategy and calendar | UNHCR Lebanon | In progress | Completed | IRC Coaching programme for child protection case management. | Training Strategy |

• Coordination of training

on UNHCR's protection

mandate and activities,

for staff, partners' staff

and government

UNHCR conducted

and continues to

conduct, together

presentations on

various protection

with partners,

| counterparts | issues (e.g., birth |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| | registration, domestic |
| UNHCR will ensure | violence, legal status) |
| continuous learning and | |
| reiteration of the | The Representation |
| centrality of protection, | had a P3 Protection |
| so that it is well | Training Coordinator |
| understood as integral | from February 2015 |
| to the on-going | to December 2016. |
| humanitarian response | 10 2000 |
| пататат соротос | Over 450 government |
| | officials were |
| | provided training and |
| | capacity-building on |
| | protection issues in |
| | 2016, including |
| | Training of Trainers |
| | for continuation in |
| | 2017. |
| | 2017. |
| | • 16 full-day, 8 half-day |
| | and 10 individual |
| | |
| | training sessions |
| | reaching around 500 |
| | individuals in 2016, |
| | namely UNHCR staff, |
| | humanitarian |
| | partners (UN, |
| | national NGOs, |
| | international NGOs), |
| | and some |
| | government |
| | counterparts. |
| | |
| | Inter-agency |

| widespread communication | Develop a common, countrywide communication strategy for protection that includes both the dissemination of relevant information and structured, consistent and widespread communication about protection with refugees and community leaders. Management Response to Recommendation | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| A country-tailored UNHCR communication with communities' strategy continues to be in place and has been further strengthened. The 2014 strategy, adopted by all sectors, encompasses tools that are available to all humanitarian partners. Amongst these tools and activities to provide information to refugees and host communities are: A communication tree via Whatsapp, four Facebook accounts managed by refugees, bulk SMS systems, Q&As, leaflets and posters (in all reception centres, community/social development centres, and health centres, among other locations), and the interagency website for refugees and service providers managed by UNHCR. In addition, through its extensive outreach network with refugees, Lebanese and partners, UNHCR is able to provide timely and regular information to all refugees, even those most isolated. Continued efforts are made to ensure that timely information is made available to refugees and host communities on food and cash assistance through SMS and by phone through a joint WFP/UNHCR call center. Through the call center protection cases are also referred to dedicated UNHCR staff on daily basis. Two-way communication with refugees is of utmost importance to UNHCR and partners, and continues to be facilitated through outreach programmes and activities – complemented by regular participatory assessments. UNHCR's communication strategy addresses also innovation activities. As a result of a UNHCR/UNICEF/OCHA | | | | | | | |
| | nt in June 2016, various two-vector tools were and continue to Responsible Department / Service / Office | • | | ch students, including, amon Actions Taken | g others, an application for Supporting Documents | | |

| UNHCR Lebanon | On-going | On-going | Development and promotion of information tools | Communication with Communities Strategy |
|---------------|----------|----------|--|---|
| | | | information tools | |
| | | | • Introduction of | |
| | | | | |
| | | | communication | |
| | | | approaches | |
| | | | • In line with | |
| | | | strengthening the | |
| | | | communication | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | I | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | I | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | _ | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | partners. | |
| | | | | promotion of information tools • Introduction of innovative communication approaches • In line with strengthening the |

Recommendation 7:

Ensure that child protection activities address the most vulnerable and difficult cases and that there is a sufficient balance between these and other cases. Ensure that

| | t the need for a balanced ap , approaches to their needs. | proach. This should include | an in-depth analysis of childr | en's vulnerabilities and the | consideration of alternative, |
|--|---|---|---|--|---|
| Management Response to | Recommendation | | | | |
| Agree Partially Agree | e □ Disagree □ | | | | |
| children victims of abuse an account and that preventic domestic violence etc. Train | nd violence. In parallel to this on made through community ning of community outreach v | , Child Protection is working centers and Social Developn vorkers (general and speciali | nch on Child Protection. These g closely with community servi ment centers integrate mobilisized ROVs) also contribute to a lence against children and ref | ices to ensure that other cas zation on child protection is mitigate risks for other child | ses (low risks) are taken into sues such as child marriage, ren at risks. Specialized child |
| victims of abuse, exploitation and referral of Development centers integral of the content of th | tion, neglect and violence. In the same of cases as well as ensuring lowerate prevention and mobilizated. | n parallel to this, Child Pro w risk cases can receive supp ion on child protection issue | ch on Child Protection. Cases a otection sits within the Com port through community level as such as child marriage, wors ate risks for other children at | munity Based Protection u interventions, In addition co t forms of child labour, posit | init which assists with safe ommunity centers and Social ive discipline etc. Training of |
| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |

| | | | | In line with the Protection | - UNHCR Lebanon |
|---|---------------|----------|----------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| | | | | Response Framework: | - ONACK LEDATION Protection |
| | | | | | Response |
| | | | | - Community centre | Framework |
| | | | | activities target | Traniework |
| Continue integrating | | | | children, women at risk | - UNHCR Lebanon |
| child protection into | | | | and other groups | Community |
| other protection | | | | (prevention activities). | Center and ROV |
| interventions/activities | | | | DOV/s identify and | Guidelines |
| (community centres, | | | | ROVs identify and refer all persons at risk, | |
| legal aid, etc.) | | | | regardless of age or | - 2016 Country |
| | | | | background. | Operations Plan: Protection |
| Continue to identify | | | | background. | Strategy |
| persons, in addition to | UNHCR Lebanon | On-going | Started and on-going | - Minimum standards | Strategy |
| children, who are at | | | | to respond to all | |
| heightened risk and in need of support. | | | | persons at risk | |
| Continue to ensure the | | | | developed. | |
| inclusion of other | | | | - Regular donor | |
| groups at risk into | | | | briefings highlight all | |
| protection activities e.g. | | | | vulnerabilities | |
| disabled persons, older | | | | vaniciasinties | |
| persons, youth, etc. | | | | In line with the Protection | - UNHCR Lebanon |
| | | | | Response Framework: | Protection |
| | | | | | Response |
| | | | | - National SOPs for | Framework |
| | | | | Case management | - LCRP 2017-20 |
| | | | | finalised | Sector Response |
| | | | | - Community centre | Strategies |
| | | | | activities target | 0 |
| | | | | children, women at risk | - National CM |
| | | | | and other groups | SOPs |
| | | | | (prevention activities). | LINILICO Laborraria |
| | | | | | - UNHCR Lebanon |

| | - OVs identify and | Community |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------|
| | refer all persons at risk, | Center and OV |
| | | Guidelines |
| | regardless of age or | Guidelines |
| | background. | - 2017 Country |
| | - Minimum standards | |
| | | Operations Plan |
| | to respond to all | Protection |
| | persons at risk | Strategy |
| | developed. | 20461426: 55: |
| | | - 2016 MOSA PPA |
| | - Regular donor | 0.475 |
| | briefings highlight all | - CMTF meeting |
| | vulnerabilities | minutes and |
| | | CPWG work plar |
| | UNHCR strategy on | |
| | youth has been drafted | |
| | - Physical accessibility | |
| | for PWD has been | |
| | strengthened to UNHCR | |
| | offices as well as | |
| | CDCs/SDCs | |
| | CDC3/3DC3 | |
| | - Community groups | |
| | for older persons as | |
| | well as targeted | |
| | | |
| | activities in CDCs/SDCs | |

Recommendation 8:

In contexts such as Lebanon with refugee crises and level 3 emergencies, in the aim of improving the effectiveness of the response, the respective roles of HCs, HCTs and UNCTs should be clear to avoid possible duplication. The 24 April 2014 Agreement between the ERC and the High Commissioner has not provided sufficient clarity on

| these roles in Lebanon when there is a refugee response coordination model in a protracted crisis, and an HC, HCT and UNCT are in place, or with regard to transition modalities when situations are volatile but clusters are not in place. [Note: this recommendation can be subsumed under the first overall recommendation above] | | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Management Response to | | tin place. [Note: tins recom | imenaution can be subsumed | under the jirst overall rec | опппеницион изочеј | | |
| Agree Partially Agree | ☐ Disagree ☐ | | | | | | |
| See recommendation A | | | | | | | |
| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents | | |
| | The Directors of DESS and DER | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Recommendation 9: The RRP should be a strategic and programmatic tool that offers a much clearer vision of what is to be achieved and how. The process should aim to be more straightforward and lighter at this stage of the response. The fact that activities are largely left to the initiative of the partners and defined a posteriori has the advantage of encouraging participation and ownership but proves problematic because it hinders meaningful programming. | | | | | | | |
| | Management Response to Recommendation | | | | | | |
| Agree Partially Agree | ☐ Disagree ■ | | | | | | |
| The UNHCR Inter-Agency Unit works closely with stakeholders through sector working groups to set out a clear vision and strategic direction, which aims to be practical and useful for partners acting on the ground (which leads to better results for beneficiaries). Flowing from the strategy, activities are agreed upon at the sector-level and included in the planning, i.e. they are not set a posteriori by partners in isolation. The activities are reviewed regularly. RRP and 3RP also provide a programmatic framework, strategy, project indicators and impact indicators, all to assess the response effectiveness. | | | | | | | |

| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
|-----------------|---|--------------------------|---|---------------|----------------------|
| | | | | | |

| Recommen | dation | 10: |
|----------|--------|-----|
|----------|--------|-----|

UNHCR is encouraged to continue its advocacy with the GOL to endorse the emergency shelter strategies developed. This should include relocation sites for refugees affected by adverse weather or armed conflict. This plan would require GoL permission and coordination.

Management Response to Recommendation

Agree ■ Partially Agree □ Disagree □

| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
|--|---|-----------------------------|---|---|----------------------|
| UNHCR is following up at different levels with its Government counterpart (MOSA). Advocacy messages are focussing on the need of being authorized to proceed with structural improvements of the informal settlements | UNHCR Lebanon | | In progress | Development of sites layout and jointly assessment with MOSA for the establishment of 2 settlements of 1.000 HH each located in West Bekka and Rachaya. Pending the authorisation of the Ministry of Interior. These sites are being identified as being used potentially to accommodate refugees | |

| | from Arsaal | |
|-----------|--|--|
| Completed | UNHCR jointly with MoSA assessed and developed the site layout of two sites located in West Bekaa (Tal Znoub and Joub Jenine) and shared it with the MoIM. | |
| | The shelter sector, led by MoSA and co-led by UNHCR and UN-Habitat, built its strategy including the emergency shelter responses in alignment with the LCRP, and with the involvement of shelter actors. | Shelter Sector strategy in the LCRP 2017-2020 |
| | Emergency Shelter response strategy for refugees is also integrated in Contingency Plan of the HCT. | Lebanon Contingency Plan (HCT) |
| | UNHCR has adopted a proactive approach to manage relocation of refugee families | |
| | necessary in view of evictions on case by case basis. It takes place in parallel to advocacy and | Shelter sector response mechanism in case of eviction. |
| | negotiations with | Eviction committees have |

| | | Government counterparts at the national and regional levels and help prevent eviction or at least mitigate their impact. | been established in each region (north, Bekaa, Mount Lebanon and South) |
|--|--|--|--|
|--|--|--|--|

| Recommendation 11: Conduct a more comprehensive and detailed assessment of WASH needs in informal settlements. Use results to develop overall strategy for the informal settlements. | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|
| Management Response to Reco | | or wastriceus iir ii | normal section ends. Osc results | to develop overall strategy for the in | Torridi Settiernerits. | | |
| Agree Partially Agree | Disagree \square | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents | | |
| Detailed WASH assessment to be completed not only in in informal settlements (IS) but also in other type of accommodation. To be noted that this assessment should | UNHCR Lebanon | 3rd quarter 2015 | In progress | Development of a draft TOR for a national WASH survey. The survey will be done mainly at the household but also at institutional (water establishment/municipality) | Draft TOR for national WASH survey | | |

levels through administering a questionnaire, focus group discussions and observing the

status of water and sanitation

also focus in consolidating the

available data on WASH

| | | | facilities. The survey will target a large sample of refugees and a small number of host Lebanese communities, it will be held in all governorates segregated according to shelter types, the latter as a control group. The estimated time for carrying the survey is 45 days, this excludes design, analysis and report writing. Steering Committee has been established. First meeting will be held 19 march 2015; the committee will meet monthly | |
|---------------|------------|-----------|---|------------------------------------|
| UNHCR Lebanon | April 2017 | Completed | Since 2015 the situation has evolved significantly. UNICEF is leading the WASH (now called Energy and Water) sector since 1st January 2016. Additionally, since 2015, UNICEF has taken a significant role in provision of services in informal settlements, close to two thirds of informal settlements country wide. During the 3rd quarter of 2015 UNHCR was ready to have the comprehensive country wide | LCRP Sector working group minutes. |

| | assessment however, all country |
|--|--|
| | wide assessments were put on |
| | hold. Since then, UNICEF |
| | planned and implemented a |
| | country wide JMP WASH |
| | assessment which covered not |
| | only the Syrian refuges but also |
| | other target groups integrated in |
| | the LCRP. There are also several |
| | other assessments (including |
| | · |
| | WASH enquiries) that have been done: the UNHCR Household |
| | |
| | profiling assessment (HPQ), the |
| | multi-sectoral VaSYR, the UNICEF |
| | household baseline assessment, |
| | the WASH vulnerability |
| | Assessment done in the Bekaa. |
| | Also a UNICEF Wastewater |
| | assessment is looking at |
| | wastewater treatment plants and |
| | a KAP survey now being done by |
| | UNICEF. Many monitoring tools |
| | such as Activity Info, RAIS and |
| | IAMP are also in place to monitor |
| | the WASH conditions (as well as |
| | other needs) of people living in |
| | informal settlements. |
| | An interprepay initiative for |
| | An interagency initiative for Health and WASH access |
| | |
| | (THRIVE), involving UNICEF, WHO |
| | and HCR), endeavours to identify |
| | key needs of 0-5 children and |
| | their mothers and fundraise for |

| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|
| All recommendations are add Harmonization via cost-effection Investments made by partners of the partners of t | veness within a Multi-Ager establishing one common sy | ncy approach has been esta | blished in Lebanon. LOUISE | brings together existing | g assets, programmes and |
| JNHCR has invested consideral common architecture for cash as an agreed monthly transfer valuable used will be scored to in each geographic area will have while at field level, systems are | assistance. This comprises ague. Partners working in cash dicate eligibility for both for one partner to carry out the | greement on the most vulnera , whether UNHCR or other fu od and cash, and WFP and UN | able group to be prioritised fo nds, have agreed to follow the IHCR have defined geographic | r cash assistance, an agre e same system. The hous c divisions for covering wi | eed targeting methodology ehold assessment tool tha ith household assessments |
| Management Response to Reconstruction Reconstructio | | | | | |
| mprove UNHCR's cash progran of cash programmes; and iv) on | _ | nected measures: i) a unified | mechanism to identify the v | ulnerable; ii) <i>guichet uni</i> | que; iii) the harmonisation |
| Recommendation 12: | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | broad | er in scope than the 0-5 old and their mothers onl | |
| | | | | services for them. The component of THRIVE is | |

| A unified mechanism to | UNHCR Lebanon in | Harmonisation of | Completed | Agencies agreed to | Inter-agency documents |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| identify the vulnerable, and is | cooperation with DPSM | targeting, assistance, and | · | adopt a desk formula | on targeting and cash |
| being used by all cash | | household questionnaires | | approach to predict | processes are being |
| partners. | | and scoring was | | socio-economic | produced |
| | | implemented from end | | vulnerability, ranking | |
| | | 2014/beginning 2015. | | and scoring of | |
| | | | | populations to be | TOR of the BA working |
| | | | | applied for targeting | group inclusive of |
| | | | In progress | in programmes. | vulnerability assessment, |
| | | | In progress | | targeting and operational |
| | | | | | programming |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | UNHCR entire | |
| | | | | caseload received the | Targeting for Cash |
| | | | | common card by | Assistance: 2016 (also |
| | | | | mid-year 2017 | expected an update in |
| | | | | | 2017) |
| Unconditional cash | | | | | |
| programmes are using | | | | A harmonized card | |
| harmonised identification and | | | | distribution and joint | |
| transfer mechanisms, and the | | | | card training material | |
| same transfer value. | | | | developed | |
| | | | | LOUISE online | |
| | | | | platform | |
| | | | | development for | |
| | | | | common card | |
| | | | | management (in | |
| | | | | progress by July | |
| | | | | 2017) | |
| | | | | Camara an Call Carata a | |
| | | | | Common Call Center | MOU among LOUISE |
| | | l | | I | So among Looise |

| Guichet unique will be piloted | Guichet unique pilot to | Further advanced via the | virtual platform in | partners agencies. |
|--|--|--|---|--------------------|
| in the coming months. One | start in Q2 2015; | LOUISE and all common | progress by July 2017 | |
| partner per location is being implemented as far as possible | harmonisation of partners is on-going. | adopted approaches in communication, card management, training, | Common Call Center established in | |
| | | Furthermore, all new actions are to be endorsed through LCRP coordination architecture | Information Management portal development by July | |

| Recommendation 13: | | | | | | |
|--|---|------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|----------------------|--|
| A joint health strategy betw | veen MoH and UNHCR and o | ther partners should be envi | saged and needs to widen th | e scope to include developm | ent partners. | |
| Management Response to | Recommendation | | | | | |
| Agree ■ Partially Agree □ Disagree □ | | | | | | |
| The joint health strategy has been developed for 2015 (3RP) with WHO and partners, while advocating for stronger MOPH engagement has been encouraged There has been engagement with development partners but needs to be strengthened as more development partners take on the challenge presented by the increased population in Lebanon. | | | | | | |
| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents | |

| Advocacy for the establishment of a health steering committee led by MOPH in order to | UNHCR Lebanon | March 2015 | Completed | Steering Committee has been established. First meeting will be held 19 March 2015; the committee will meet monthly | |
|---|---------------|------------|-----------|---|--|
| strengthen health sector strategy | | | | Joint Regional Health Strategy developed with WHO and Partners embedded in 3RP | |

| Recommendation 14: | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|---|--|
| · · | | | ire. Issues of access should | | |
| | | urrent informal system (local | NGOs, Syrian underground) i | n a primary nealthcare strate | gy need to be addressed |
| Management Response to | Recommendation | | | | |
| Agree Partially Agree | ■ Disagree □ | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| If disagree, explain the reas | son why: | | | | |
| | Γ | Γ | T | Γ | T |
| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
| Develop Regional Health Strategy | UNHCR Lebanon | 2014 | Completed | Joint Regional Health Strategy developed with WHO and Partners embedded in 3RP | Regional Public Health and Nutrition Strategy for Syrian Refugees, Egypt, Iraq, Jordan, Lebanon and Turkey |

| The Lebanon Crisis Response Plan (LCRP) to be based on the Regional Health Strategy | UNHCR Lebanon | 2015 | Completed | | LCRP Health Chapter |
|--|---------------|------|-----------|--|---------------------|
| UNHCR to be lead agency and fund manager for the Instrument for Stability (IfS) working close with WHO, UNICEF and International Alert, aiming to provide strategic support to public health sector focusing on primary health care and early warning response | UNHCR Lebanon | 2015 | On-going | Establishment of a steering committee. Provision of support to PHC and communicable disease response through training of staff, development of SOPs, rehabilitation of facilities and procurement of equipment. | EU report on IfS |

| Recommendation 15: | Recommendation 15: | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------------|---|---------------|----------------------|
| Given the scale of resources allocated and the number of beneficiaries reached, UNHCR should be more proactive in ensuring an adequate level of healthcare for refugees. Clear information on the package of services and ways to access them should be provided and monitored through community-based mechanisms. | | | | | |
| Management Response to | Recommendation | | | | |
| Agree Partially Agree | □ Disagree ■ | | | | |
| UNHCR is proactive in providing information to all refugees which is monitored through periodic surveys including on satisfaction with services, this includes health care services available to refuges. | | | | | |
| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |

| | UNHCR Lebanon | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Recommendation 16: | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| - | mittee (ECC) and the High Rel | | | = - | _ | |
| | es and complicated ones nee | | | | the host country. The ECC | |
| should be integrated with r | neans to refer specific cases, t | nrough GoL involvement or o | other specific mechanisms fur | idea by donors. | | |
| Management Response to | Recommendation | | | | | |
| Wanagement Response to | necommendation | | | | | |
| Agree Partially Agree | □ Disagree ■ | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| In UNHCR's view, the ECC should not be involved in addressing the referral care needs for Lebanese. The ECC could expand the scope of coverage of cases if more funds are | | | | | | |
| 1 | | _ | | | | |
| 1 | hould not be involved in addro ral care. The GoL could be sup | _ | | | | |
| 1 | | _ | | | | |
| generally available for refer | ral care. The GoL could be sup | oported to provide expensive | care for specific cases but UI Status (not started, in | NHCR/ ECC are not involved in | this. | |
| 1 | | _ | Status (not started, in progress, completed, | | | |
| generally available for refer | ral care. The GoL could be sup Responsible Department | pported to provide expensive Expected Completion | care for specific cases but UI Status (not started, in | NHCR/ ECC are not involved in | n this. | |
| generally available for refer | ral care. The GoL could be sup Responsible Department | pported to provide expensive Expected Completion | Status (not started, in progress, completed, | NHCR/ ECC are not involved in | this. | |
| generally available for refer | ral care. The GoL could be sup Responsible Department | pported to provide expensive Expected Completion | Status (not started, in progress, completed, | NHCR/ ECC are not involved in | n this. | |
| generally available for refer | Responsible Department / Service / Office | pported to provide expensive Expected Completion | Status (not started, in progress, completed, | NHCR/ ECC are not involved in | this. | |
| generally available for refer | Responsible Department / Service / Office | pported to provide expensive Expected Completion | Status (not started, in progress, completed, | NHCR/ ECC are not involved in | this. | |

| R | ec | om | mei | nda | tion | 17: |
|---|----|----|-----|-----|------|-----|
|---|----|----|-----|-----|------|-----|

Aspects of client satisfaction and negotiated rates should be more closely followed by UNHCR, establishing targets and mechanisms for the third party administration. There is a need for a shift to treating refugees as clients, instead of treating UNHCR as the client of Globe-Med. The limited possibility to register complaints other than those related to standard operating procedures turns managing complaints into an administrative activity related to Globe-Med functions, while the possibility of channelling useful refugee data and information to UNHCR is lost

| Management Response to Recommendation | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------|--|--|--|--|
| Agree | Partially Agree | Disagree | | | | |

UNHCR continues to monitor refugee patient satisfaction through interviews, commencing initially in December 2013, when refugee satisfactory being good (Satisfied= 68.4%, Relatively satisfied= 26.3%, Not satisfied= 5.3%). These results were generally consistent during interviews conducted in July 2014, when nearly 65% of patients were satisfied, 3% relatively satisfied while 28% had no opinion on the hospital services. UNHCR has further conducted rounds of exit interviews. Concerning GlobeMed, 16% were satisfied while only 5% were disappointed. The majority did not know. Disappointment was predominantly linked to quality of services provided.

| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
|--|---|--|---|--|---|
| UNHCR is now working with a new TPA as a result of the latest tendering process; the new contract takes account of some of the short-comings of the previous system. Adding in contract of TPA the clause about treating beneficiaries as clients and the establishment of a complaints mechanism | UNHCR Lebanon | New TPA in place from beginning March 2015. | Completed | As under actions planned TPA was changed in 2015 and in 2017 after competitive bidding processes. Current TPA NEXtCARE started working together with UNHCR 1st of Jan 2017. UNHCR is through meetings following up on NEXtCARe's obligations according to the contract. | TPA contract with the new service provider is being finalised and SOPs updated Contract with NEXtCARE (Annex C – description of Services and Deliverables) |

| UNHCR is leading an inter-agency process on humanitarian accountability, and will look at systems for refugees to register complaints as part of the broader mechanism. | UNHCR Lebanon | HAP process on-going | On-going | On-going The newly contracted TPA NextCare has established a dedicated hotline for complaints from clients in addition to a mechanism whereby refugees report complaints at UNHCR field offices. | |
|--|---------------|------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| To emphasise the treatment of refugees as clients in the 2015 contract for TPA services including ensuring a reliable complaints mechanism UNHCR to establish a complaints mechanism of its own in parallel with the TPA including complaints on hospital performance | UNHCR Lebanon | 1 st April 2015 2017 | In progress, contract for TPA services In progress | Creation of forms. Information to UNHCR staff on how to fill them. Establishment of a data-base to follow-up on actions taken. | Referral Care SOPs 2017 |
| To agree on flat rates for deliveries with contracted hospitals | UNHCR Lebanon | | Completed | Negotiated rates for all normal deliveries and C- sections in place for all 50 contracted hospitals. | TPA contract with the new service provider |

| Recommendation 18: | | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------|---|---|----------------------|
| The challenges in Lebanon require greater managerial capacities in the health sector than those that UNHCR has in place. Capacity should be redefined and the right profiles determined. UNHCR should strengthen its managerial and M&E expertise in the Health sector. In 2013, UNHCR established specific staff dedicated to health sector coordination which has proven very positive. Management Response to Recommendation Agree Partially Agree Disagree | | | | | |
| If disagree, explain the rea | ason why: | | | | |
| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
| UNHCR will maintain experienced International staff at the P4 and P3 level | UNHCR Lebanon | On-going | On-going | UNHCR Lebanon has currently one Senior Public Health Officer and one Public Health Officer at P4 and P3 levels respectively. | |

Recommendation 19:

If the situation becomes chronic, UNHCR may consider the creation of an adapted scheme for the coverage of refugee medical expenses (sick fund), anchored in prequalified service providers and financed though specific tools (e.g. trust funds). This would not spare the Third Party Administrator (TPA), but would offer some possible efficiency gains and a midterm solution for the situation in middle-income countries. Alternatively, donors should support the GoL in covering the costs of services provided to refugees and vulnerable Lebanese, which is difficult to put in place in a context of private service providers. Specific solutions to improve the situation of vulnerable Lebanese are urgently required in order to prevent the scaling up of tensions.

| Management Response to Reco | mmendation |
|-----------------------------|--|
| Agree ☐ Partially Agree ■ | Disagree |
| | nefits both Lebanese and Syrians; in addition, the NPTP exempts poor Lebanese from the patient co-pay of PHC fees. Lebanese working in |

| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---|--|----------------------|
| UNHCR has presented a proposal to a donor for a consultancy that would assist us to identify other possibilities for health care. | UNHCR Lebanon | ongoing | In progress | Adapted schemes of coverage for universal access for refugees have been explored but costs are prohibitive. UNHCR is commissioning a further health financing study in 2017 to explore options for sustainable health financing for refugees which will include a review of insurance and pooled funding and contacting mechanisms. | |
| Advocacy with relevant actors to establish a funding mechanism through which health care for refugees could be better integrated within the Lebanese system | UNHCR Lebanon | | In progress | UNHCR is working closely with UN health agencies (UNICEF, WHO), the Ministry of Health and main donors to explore a more efficient health financing mechanism for | |

| | | | | refugees which could include a trust fund and pooling of donor resources. An initial analysis of options possible including their pros and cons has been drafted for further consultation. This is also being done in close coordination with the World Bank who is supporting Lebanon to expand health care for vulnerable Lebanese. |
|--|---------------|------|-------------|--|
| Health Financing Consultant to explore various possibilities of alternative funding mechanisms | UNHCR Lebanon | 2017 | In progress | Position opened. Closing date 29th April 2017. |
| Provide institutional support to MOPH benefitting Lebanese as well as refugees | UNHCR Lebanon | | In progress | Through funding from Instrument for Stability (IfS) and European Neighbourhood Initiative (ENI) build capacity centrally as well as in PHCs and Hospitals |

Recommendation 20:

| UNHCR could focus more on adopting a coordinating role in the Education sector rather than an implementing one. Essentially, UNHCR can play a facilitation role. The |
|--|
| roles of each stakeholder should be reviewed and sanctioned if not executed within the agreed framework. A firm should be hired to handle the financial aspects of the |
| framework, thus allowing NGO/UNHCR to concentrate on real education and quality issues. More face-to-face contacts between UNHCR and MEHE are necessary to clarify |
| roles and activities. |

| Management | Response to | Recommen | dation |
|------------|-------------|----------|--------|
|------------|-------------|----------|--------|

Agree ☐ Partially Agree ■ Disagree ☐

The Ministry of Education and Higher Education (MEHE) is in the lead on all aspects of education planning and implementation. UNHCR is a co-lead of the Education Working Group, and as such has a role in strategy, planning and promoting a harmonised approach to interventions.

| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
|---|---|-----------------------------|---|--|--|
| Implement UNHCR Lebanon education strategy and support RACE II | UNHCR Lebanon | 2021 (last year of RACE II) | In progress | Ministry of Education and Higher Education (MEHE) has launched and reviewed its Reaching All Children with Education (RACE) programme, UNHCR, along with UNICEF, UNESCO, and key donors, is part of the executive committee, chaired by MEHE, to oversee implementation of the programme. Financial arrangements are under discussion, with MEHE. | UNHCR Lebanon education strategy, RACE II, and the January 2017 Education brief |

| R | ec | on | ıme | ena | lati | on | 21: |
|---|----|----|-----|-----|------|----|-----|
|---|----|----|-----|-----|------|----|-----|

UNHCR should strengthen monitoring systems related to community outreach and social cohesion. Without this, community outreach risks various missed opportunities that can only be spotted when the complexities of a community are understood and the dynamics and changes monitored closely over time.

Management Response to Recommendation

| Δgree □ | Partially Agree | | Disagree \Box |
|---------|-----------------|---|-----------------|
| Agree 🗀 | raitially Agree | _ | Disagree — |

UNHCR Lebanon community outreach strategy for the Syria operation started in mid-2011. As a process, it gradually scaled up and reached a spike in mid-2013 as the operation expanded in human and financial resources. Currently, the operation has set up a large-scale community outreach strategy premised on empowerment, participation and mobilization. The main vehicles of this strategy entail systematic participatory assessments with thousands of refugees, mobilizing over 600 refugee outreach volunteers (ROVs) across Lebanon and supporting 32 Community Development Centers/57 Social Development Centers linked to the Ministry of Social Affairs. The outreach strategy also includes setting up community groupswhich are supported to identify/analyse community concerns and resolve most of their problems, such structures include committees in informal settlements and those linked to community centers (230 community groups supported by UNHCRs are currently in place).

The outreach set-up and strategy is also helping to effectively and safely identify thousands of individuals who are facing serious and imminent risk. The structures allow UNHCR to be close to the refugee community, facilitate two-way communication and understand their dynamics, customs, traditions, positive and negative coping mechanisms, as well as inform joint responses to the protection challenges they are facing.

| Actions Planned | Responsible Department / Service / Office | Expected Completion Date | Status (not started, in progress, completed, cancelled) | Actions Taken | Supporting Documents |
|--|---|--------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Strengthen community empowerment and outreach. | UNHCR Lebanon | On-going | On-going | • Expanding from 116 to over 443 ROVs | Developed guidelines and tools |
| Empower community development centres, refugee and host committees and refugee | | | | Expanding from 23 to 26 Community Centers, reaching 125,000 persons, as well as 57 SDCs | UNHCR Lebanon Protection Response Framework UNHCR Lebanon |
| outreach volunteers to become more self-reliant. | | | | Issuance of guidelines on community centers and ROVs | Community Center and ROV Guidelines |
| Reinforce Refugee Outreach | | | | Participatory assessments with | UNHCR Lebanon Community Center |

| Volunteers (ROVs) | over 1,400 refugees and OV | Guidelines |
|------------------------------|--|---------------|
| programmes (numbers, | = | lum and |
| training, etc.) | Revision of guidelines and tools Oversight | |
| <i>G, 333</i> , | of protection monitoring; | -, |
| Greater use of community | | ty Initiative |
| development centers (CDCs) | Trainings of staff on protection guidelin | • |
| and social development | and interview techniques | |
| centres (SDCs), with equal | | |
| inclusion of non-Syrian | Enhanced coordination meetings | |
| refugees and Lebanese | between UNHCR and | |
| Teragees and Ecounese | implementing partners to identify | |
| Support awareness raising | and assess protection needs and | |
| campaigns and joint | challenges. | |
| activities to educate the | | |
| public on opportunities that | Expanding from 116 to over | |
| cross cultural co-operation | 443 ROVs (OV network | |
| brings | currently over 600 as of April | |
| ornig5 | 2017) | |
| | | |
| | Expanded from 23 to 32 | |
| | Community Centers, reaching | |
| | as well as 57 SDCs | |
| | | |
| | Participatory assessments with | |
| | over 1,400 refugees and host | |
| | community (in 2017 almost | |
| | 6,000 consulted) | |
| | | |
| | Solidarity Initiative pilot | |
| | initiated involving small scale | |
| | grants to community actors to | |
| | response to community level | |
| | protection concerns and | |
| | promote social cohesion (97 | |
| | p. smale social contesion (s) | |

| | | granted in 2016) | |
|--|--|------------------|--|
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |