Millions of people are today forced to flee their homes as a result of conflict, systematic discrimination, or other forms of persecution. The core instruments on which they must rely to secure international protection are the 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees and its 1967 Protocol. This book examines key challenges the Convention faces, including the scope of the principle of non-refoulement and the proper application of the elements of the refugee definition. The Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) commissioned papers on these issues from some of the world’s pre-eminent international refugee experts, and these were discussed at a series of expert roundtable meetings during 2001 as part of UNHCR’s Global Consultations on International Protection. The papers and roundtable conclusions are published here, together with an overview and the landmark declaration of the 2001 Ministerial Meeting of States Parties to the Convention and/or Protocol.
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Foreword

At the start of the twenty-first century, fifty years after the drafting of the 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees, international refugee protection is at a crossroads. In a globalizing world and a rapidly changing political environment, the Convention faces many challenges. These include new forms of persecution and conflict, complex mixed migration movements, the reluctance of many states to accept refugees, and restrictive interpretation of the Convention.

The papers and the conclusions contained in this volume are one outcome of the Global Consultations on International Protection, organized by UNHCR in 2000–2 to reinvigorate the international refugee protection regime. They address key questions relating to the 1951 Convention, where it was considered that greater clarity and coherence of interpretation was needed. They are the result of a series of expert roundtables which were held in 2001 as part of the Global Consultations.

This book examines some of the legal issues that are part of the system of governance for refugees. The cornerstone of this system remains the 1951 Convention and its 1967 Protocol. The aim is to ensure that this system can function more effectively, equitably, and efficiently, enabling refugees to obtain the protection to which they are entitled.

Refugee protection problems cannot be addressed in isolation. All stakeholders, whether they be international organizations, governments, judiciaries, civil society, non-governmental organizations, or academia, need to strengthen their partnerships and clarify their roles. Clearer understanding and more consistent implementation are an integral part of ensuring that refugee protection burdens and responsibilities are shared more equally, and that some of the world’s most vulnerable individuals are able to find durable solutions to their plight and to enjoy the respect that they deserve.

The Declaration agreed by delegates at the Ministerial Meeting of States Parties to the 1951 Convention and/or 1967 Protocol in December 2001 called on States to ‘strengthen asylum and render protection more effective’. I hope this volume will serve as a tool to assist those involved in refugee protection in this endeavour.

Ruud Lubbers
United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
Preface

The world has changed radically since the establishment of UNHCR and the coming into force of the Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees some fifty years ago. The modern regime of international refugee protection has been built on these beginnings in the aftermath of the Second World War and is now a complex structure affording vital protection to millions of forcibly displaced people. Within this structure, the Convention and its 1967 Protocol are widely acknowledged as enduring instruments with a ‘central place in the international refugee protection regime’, as States Parties to the Convention and/or Protocol declared in December 2001.

Conclusions have, however, sometimes been drawn which put in question the ongoing relevance of the Convention or which seem to call for its complete overhaul, or even abandonment. Such conclusions are misguided, even dangerous. They contribute to the waning quality of asylum, as State commitment to protection using the available instruments starts to falter. UNHCR does of course recognize that the challenges today are many and various and that there are gaps in the protection framework, even while, at the core, the Convention regime’s fundamental principles are as sound and necessary as ever.

The Global Consultations on International Protection have been UNHCR’s effort to rise to modern challenges confronting refugee protection, to shore up support for the international framework of protection principles, and to explore the scope for enhancing protection through new approaches, which nevertheless respect the concerns and constraints of States and other actors. The process was designed to promote better understanding of today’s protection dilemmas, from the perspective both of the providers and of the beneficiaries of international protection. State interests and refugee needs have not been always easy to reconcile, but certainly the first step in this direction can only be taken when the possibilities and limitations are properly appreciated.

The Consultations were also conceptualized so as to realize better cooperation among all concerned. Best practices, or at least baselines, for making asylum systems work more justly and efficiently, coupled with a firming up of political will to improve the ‘doing’ of protection, not on an ad hoc and discretionary basis, but more predictably and consistently within the internationally agreed parameters, were likewise an objective. So too was a more reasoned approach to responsibility
sharing, in order to rationalize the assumption of responsibilities and balance the burdens in a more equitable manner. Finally, the Consultations had the goal of contributing to improved implementation of important framework principles, including by clarifying their meaning in a modern context.

The various issues raised in the course of the Global Consultations were organized along three ‘tracks’. The first culminated in an unprecedented Ministerial Meeting of States Parties to the 1951 Convention and/or 1967 Protocol in Geneva in December 2001. The Declaration from that meeting – the first ever adopted by all States Parties – is reproduced in Part 1.3 of this book. That Declaration stands as an important measure of political commitment to better refugee protection within a strengthened Convention framework. The ‘second track’ of the Consultations involved a series of expert roundtable held during 2001 on specific issues in the interpretation of the 1951 Convention on which greater clarity is required, as set out in the table on p. xxi. A more harmonized understanding of how the Convention is to be applied in today’s world will, it is hoped, be one enduring outcome. The ‘third track’ brought together States and other actors, within the framework of the Executive Committee of the High Commissioner’s Programme, to examine various specific or thematic refugee protection concerns not directly, or not adequately, covered by the Convention and Protocol.

Overall the Global Consultations process has encouraged a cooperative spirit in tackling refugee issues. It has aroused an interest in multilateral dialogue to find solutions to an increasingly internationalized set of problems. The process has confirmed a willingness to pool concerns and jointly point the way forward to the durable resolution of problems whose solution is within our collective reach. Together, UNHCR and States have drafted an Agenda for Protection, which should help both to inform and to shape debate and policy formation. The Agenda comprises a comprehensive programme of action to tackle the various issues besetting refugee protection in today’s complex environment.

This book represents a key outcome very particularly of the second track roundtable meetings and the Summary Conclusions resulting from them. It focuses in a detailed manner on discrete legal issues of interpretation of the 1951 Convention, bringing together the expert papers presented to the participants at the roundtable meetings and their conclusions. The authors were asked to make proposals to establish common understandings on key issues of Convention interpretation in order to promote greater consistency in the application of the Convention in the different jurisdictions of the world. They were also asked to factor into their analysis subsequent developments in international law of relevance to forced displacement.

The book is introduced by an overview of refugee protection in international law, followed by a paper on the age- and gender-sensitive interpretation of the 1951 Convention and the text of the 2001 Declaration of the Ministerial Meeting of States Parties to the 1951 Convention and/or 1967 Protocol. The book then comprises nine
parts, each containing a paper by different leading international refugee experts on key issues of interpretation of the 1951 Convention. These concern non-refoulement, illegal entry, membership of a particular social group, gender-related persecution, internal flight, relocation or protection alternatives, exclusion, cessation, family unity and reunification, and UNHCR’s supervisory responsibility under its Statute. Each of these issues was debated at an expert roundtable meeting in 2001 and the Summary Conclusions of those meetings follow the relevant paper.

I trust this book will offer a valuable resource for judges, adjudicators, legal practitioners, government officers, humanitarian workers, non-governmental refugee advocates, and academics alike in their various efforts towards the common goal of strengthening refugee protection worldwide. For its part, UNHCR will be drawing on these various contributions to refine its own guidelines, which it makes available pursuant to its responsibility under paragraph 8 of its Statute and Article 35 of the 1951 Convention itself.

Erika Feller

Director of International Protection
Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees
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<td>Immigration Appeal Tribunal (UK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICC</td>
<td>International Criminal Court</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICCPR</td>
<td>International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, 1966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICESCR</td>
<td>International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights, 1966</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICJ</td>
<td>International Court of Justice</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ICRC
International Committee of the Red Cross

ICTR
International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda

ICTY
International Criminal Tribunal for the
Former Yugoslavia

ICVA
International Council of Voluntary Agencies

IFA
internal flight alternative

IFRCRCS
International Federation of Red Crescent
and Red Cross Societies

ILC
International Law Commission

ILM
International Legal Materials

ILO
International Labor Organization

ILR
International Law Reports

Imm AR
Immigration Appeal Reports (UK)

INA
Immigration and Nationality Act (USA)

INLA
Irish National Liberation Army

INLR
Immigration and Nationality Law Reports

INS
Immigration and Naturalization Service
(USA)

IOM
International Organization for Migration

IPA
internal protection alternative

IRA
internal relocation alternative

IRB
Immigration and Refugee Board (Canada)

IRO
International Refugee Organization

J
Justice

LCHR
Lawyers Committee for Human Rights

LNTS
League of Nations Treaty Series

MINBYUN
Lawyers for a Democratic Society (Republic
of Korea)

NGO
non-governmental organization

NPT
Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear
Weapons

NVwZ
Neue Zeitschrift für Verwaltungsrecht

NZLR
New Zealand Law Reports

OAS
Organization of American States

OAU
Organization of African Unity (African
Union from 2002)

OAU Refugee Convention
OAU Convention Governing the Specific
Aspects of Refugee Problems in Africa, 1969

OECD
Organization for Economic Cooperation and
Development

OGH
Oberster Gerichtshof (Supreme Court, Austria)

OJ
Official Journal of the European Communities
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCIJ</td>
<td>Permanent Court of International Justice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QBD</td>
<td>Queen’s Bench Division (UK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBAC</td>
<td>Regional Bureau for the Americas and the Caribbean (within UNHCR)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDDE</td>
<td>Revue du droit des étrangers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RefLex</td>
<td>Digest of Canadian immigration and refugee law decisions of the IRB, CRDD, Immigration Appeal Division (IAD), Adjudication Division (AD), and of the Federal Court of Canada, available at <a href="http://www.irb.gc.ca/Legal/reflex/index.e.stm">http://www.irb.gc.ca/Legal/reflex/index.e.stm</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refworld</td>
<td>UNHCR CD-ROM database of refugee-related information including case law and treaties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REK</td>
<td>Rechtseenheidskamer (Law Unity Chamber, the Netherlands)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RRT</td>
<td>Refugee Review Tribunal (Australia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSAA</td>
<td>Refugee Status Appeals Authority (New Zealand)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RWLG</td>
<td>Refugee Women’s Legal Group (UK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCIP</td>
<td>Sub-Committee of the Whole on International Protection (of the Executive Committee)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCR</td>
<td>Supreme Court Reports (Canada)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOPEMI</td>
<td>Continuous Reporting System on Migration (OECD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPS</td>
<td>temporary protected status (USA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDHR</td>
<td>Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 1948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UKTS</td>
<td>United Kingdom Treaty Series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNESCO</td>
<td>United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNFPA</td>
<td>United Nations Fund for Population Activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNGA</td>
<td>United Nations General Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNGAOR</td>
<td>United Nations General Assembly Official Records</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNHCR</td>
<td>United Nations High Commissioner for Refugees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNICEF</td>
<td>United Nations Children’s Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNITA</td>
<td>National Union for the Total Independence of Angola</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Universal Declaration</td>
<td>Universal Declaration of Human Rights, 1948</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNREF</td>
<td>United Nations Refugee Fund</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNSC</td>
<td>United Nations Security Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNTS</td>
<td>United Nations Treaty Series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USC</td>
<td>United States Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WARIPNET</td>
<td>West African NGOs for Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons (Senegal)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WLR</td>
<td>Weekly Law Reports (UK)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZaöRV</td>
<td>Zeitschrift für ausländisches öffentliches Recht und Völkerrecht</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>